SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

Report of Foreign Private Issuer Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of December 2022

Commission File Number: 001-14014

CREDICORP LTD.

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

Of our subsidiary Banco de Credito del Peru: Calle Centenario 156 La Molina Lima 12, Peru

(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F ⊠ Form 40-F □

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): _____

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): _____



December 15, 2022

Securities and Exchange Commission - SEC

Re.: MATERIAL EVENT

Dear Sirs:

Credicorp Ltd. ("Credicorp") hereby notify you as a Material Event that the company S&P Global Ratings has affirmed Credicorp's credit rating at 'BBB' and negative outlook. S&P Global Ratings decided to communicate this and other actions to a group of Peruvian financial institutions after having changed the outlook on Peru to negative from stable.

The information in this Form 6-K regarding the rating of S&P Global Ratings has been disclosed in Peru in conformity with Peruvian law (Article 28 of the Capital Markets Law, approved by Supreme Decree 093-2002-EF, and by the Regulation of the Disclosure of Material Events and Reserved Information approved by Resolution 005-2014-SMV/01 of the Peruvian Capital Markets Superintendency). The ratings of S&P Global Ratings do not necessarily represent the opinion of Credicorp, nor should they be seen as a recommendation to buy shares or any other securities of Credicorp. Credicorp accepts no liability for the completeness, timeliness, accuracy or selection of such information.

The information in this Form 6-K (including any exhibit hereto) shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the 'Exchange Act') or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

Sincerely,

/s/ <u>Miriam Böttger</u> Authorized Representative Credicorp Ltd.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: December 15, 2022

CREDICORP LTD. (Registrant)

By: /s/ Miriam Böttger

Miriam Böttger Authorized Representative





December 14, 2022

- On Dec. 12, 2022, S&P Global Ratings revised its outlook on Peru to negative from stable after the most recent change in power and reflecting the risks stemming from the enduring political standstill and challenging relationship between the country's executive and legislative branches of government. These risks threaten to weaken the government's capacity to implement timely policies to support robust private investment and economic growth.
- Following this action, we revised the outlooks to negative from stable on four banks operating in the
 country that we rate at the same level as the sovereign. We rarely rate financial institutions higher than the
 sovereign where they operate because we consider it unlikely that these institutions would remain
 unaffected by developments in domestic economies.
- We also affirmed the ratings on two holding companies that are significantly exposed to Peru and that already have negative outlooks. In addition, we affirmed the ratings and stable outlooks on two entities that we rate below the sovereign.

SAO PAULO (S&P Global Ratings) Dec. 14, 2022--S&P Global Ratings today revised the outlooks to negative from stable and affirmed the ratings on four Peruvian banks: Banco de Credito del Peru (BCP), MiBanco Banco de La Microempresa S.A. (MiBanco), Scotiabank Peru S.A.A., and Banco BBVA Peru. At the same time, we affirmed the ratings and negative outlooks on two Peru-based holding companies, Credicorp Ltd. and Intercorp Financial Services Inc. (IFS). Finally, we affirmed the ratings and stable outlooks on Banco Internacional del Peru S.A.A. - Interbank and Corporacion Financiera de Desarrollo S.A. (COFIDE). Refer to the rating list below for more details.

The rating actions on the financial entities follow our revision of the outlook on Peru (foreign currency: BBB/Negative/A-2; local currency: BBB+Negative/A-2) to negative from stable. The prolonged political impasse between Peru's executive and legislative powers has, in our view, limited the government's capacity to implement economic policies on a timely basis. While we believe still solid economic institutions limit downside risk, political volatility limits government capacity to promote growth and tackle long-standing structural productivity issues, such as a large informal sector and infrastructure investment. The country's relatively favorable external and fiscal profiles remain vulnerable to adverse political developments that could result in further erosion in investment or in poor economic policies, under a more extreme scenario.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Ivana L Recalde

Buenos Aires + 54 11 4891 2127 ivana.recalde @spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Sergio A Garibian

Sao Paulo

+ 55 11 3039 9749 sergio.garibian @spglobal.com

www.spglobal.com/ratingsdirect December 14, 2022

1

Our Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment (BICRA) Of Peru Incorporates Impact Of Political Volatility

Our bank criteria use our BICRA's economic risk and industry risk scores to determine a bank's anchor, the starting point in assigning an issuer credit rating. For Peru, our anchor for a commercial bank operating only in the country is 'bbb-'. Peru's economic risk trend is negative, reflecting the downside risks for growth and investments from continued political volatility amid the ongoing recovery from the pandemic's effects. We could weaken our assessment of the BICRA's economic risk if the ongoing political developments significantly dent resilience in the economy, hurting banks' operating performance and asset quality. We will also monitor if the additional withdrawals of pension funds have implications for the banking industry. If the negative economic risk trend materializes, the starting point (anchor) when assigning a rating to a financial institution that operates in Peru would remain 'bbb-'. However, the higher economic risk score, which calibrates the risk weights for our risk-adjusted capital (RAC) framework, could lead to higher risk charges, and therefore, lower the RAC ratios for some financial institutions operating in the country. This would affect the stand-alone credit profiles (SACPs) and/or our ratings on these entities.

In our view, Peru's industry risk trend remains stable. We expect the Peruvian banking system's funding structure to remain healthy and for its regulator and central bank to continue introducing regulation in a proactive matter as needed, while shifting away from the measures introduced to contain the impact of the pandemic on the financial system. We also expect profitability remain resilient and to continue recovering to pre-pandemic levels.

Environmental, social, and governance factors have no influence on the abovementioned rating actions.

Outlook

ВСР

The negative outlook on BCP reflects the outlook on Peru. We could lower the sovereign ratings if prolonged political impasse or further adverse developments reduce the predictability of policymaking or worsen institutional stability, auguring badly for economic policy outcomes.

On the other hand, if higher risks materialize that damage the operating conditions for banks in Peru-indicated by a downward revision of the BICRA economic risk score--it could hurt the bank's capitalization and intrinsic credit fundamentals, but not the ratings.

Downside scenario

We expect that the ratings on the bank will move in tandem with those on the sovereign in the next 12-24 months because of BCP's high exposure to the domestic market. We rarely rate financial institutions higher than the sovereign where they operate because we consider it unlikely that these institutions would remain unaffected by developments in domestic economies.

Upside scenario

2

We could revise the outlook on BCP to stable if we were to take a similar action on the sovereign.

MiBanco

The negative outlook on MiBanco reflects that on its parent, BCP, as long as the former remains a core subsidiary to the group.

Downside scenario

We could downgrade MiBanco in the next 24 months if we take the same action on BCP, which in turn could happen if we downgrade Peru.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook on MiBanco to stable if we were to take a similar action on BCP.

Scotiabank Peru

The negative outlook on Scotiabank Peru reflects the outlook on Peru. We could lower the sovereign ratings if prolonged political impasse or further adverse developments reduce the predictability of policymaking or worsen institutional stability, auguring badly for economic policy outcomes.

On the other hand, a downward revision of the bank's SACP (for instance, because of lower capitalization or higher credit risks) wouldn't result in a downgrade, given that Scotiabank Peru is a strategically important subsidiary that could receive group support, which could result in up to three notches of uplift to the rating above Scotiabank Peru's SACP.

Downside scenario

We expect that the ratings on the bank will move in tandem with those on the sovereign in the next 12-24 months because of Scotiabank Peru's high exposure to the domestic market. We rarely rate financial institutions higher than the sovereign where they operate because we consider it unlikely that these institutions would remain unaffected by developments in domestic economies.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook on Scotiabank Peru to stable if we were to take a similar action on the sovereign.

Banco BBVA Peru

The negative outlook on Banco BBVA Peru reflects the outlook on Peru. We could lower the sovereign ratings if prolonged political impasse or further adverse developments reduce the predictability of policymaking or worsen institutional stability, auguring badly for economic policy outcomes.

On the other hand, A downward revision of the bank's SACP (for instance, because of lower

capitalization or higher credit risks) wouldn't result in a downgrade, given that Banco BBVA Peru is a strategically important subsidiary that could receive group support, which could result in up to three notches of uplift to the rating above the bank's SACP.

Downside scenario

We expect that the ratings on the bank will move in tandem with those on the sovereign in the next 12-24 months because of Banco BBVA Peru 's high exposure to the domestic market. We rarely rate financial institutions higher than the sovereign where they operate because we consider it unlikely that these institutions would remain unaffected by developments in domestic economies.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook on Banco BBVA Peru to stable if we were to take a similar action on the sovereign.

Interbank

The stable outlook on Interbank in the next 24 months reflects our expectation that it will maintain stable credit fundamentals.

A potential downgrade of Peru wouldn't directly affect Interbank because we already rate it below the sovereign.

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if we downgrade Peru, together with a downward revision of Interbank's SACP by one notch. However, we don't consider this scenario likely at this point.

Upside scenario

We could take a positive rating action on the bank if we were to revise upward its SACP due to consistent strengthening in its capital.

IFS

The negative outlook on IFS reflects the potential effects of Peru's deteriorating credit fundamentals, along with those of the financial system, on the company's asset portfolio, fundamentals, and dividend stream. The outlook also incorporates the negative trend of the economic risk in Peru's BICRA that could pressure IFS's RAC ratio.

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings if the deterioration in business conditions results in a significant weakening in dividend flows received by the holding company. We could also downgrade IFS if higher risks materialize that damage the operating conditions for banks in Peru--indicated by a downward revision of the BICRA's economic risk score--hurting the bank's capitalization and intrinsic credit fundamentals.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook on IFS to stable in the next 18-24 months if we take a similar action on the sovereign or if capitalization metrics improve, enabling IFS to withstand a potential revision of the BICRA's economic risk score to a weaker category.

Credicorp

The negative outlook on Credicorp reflects the potential effects of Peru's deteriorating credit fundamentals, along with those of the financial system, on the company's asset portfolio, fundamentals, and dividend stream. Credicorp receives a substantial portion of dividends from its Peruvian operations across various financial segments. However, Credicorp has substantial liquid assets that ensure debt service coverage, even in a scenario of low or no dividends.

Downside scenario

A downgrade of Peru in the next 24 months could trigger a similar action on Credicorp.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook on Credicorp to stable if we were to take a similar action on the sovereign, while the entity's credit fundamentals remain unchanged.

COFIDE

The stable outlook on COFIDE in the next 24 months reflects our expectation that its intrinsic creditworthiness will remain stable, with a RAC ratio above 5% in 2022-2024 even if credit risk charges increase following a potential downgrade of the sovereign and a weaker BICRA assessment. In addition, we expect the government to continue providing support to COFIDE given our view that it will remain the government's important financing tool in its plans to restart the domestic infrastructure sector, while the entity continues to be a key lending intermediary to small and midsize enterprises.

Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings on COFIDE following a two-notch downgrade of Peru, or if we were to revise downward COFIDE's SACP by two notches to 'b'. We could also downgrade COFIDE if we both downgrade Peru and revise down the entity's SACP by one notch. However, we think such scenarios are unlikely for the next two years.

Upside scenario

An upgrade of the entity is highly unlikely at this point, given the current challenging conditions for the Peruvian government that limit its capacity to provide support to this government entity.

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Hybrid Capital: Methodology And Assumptions, March 2, 2022
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2021
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Financial Institutions Rating Methodology, Dec. 9, 2021
- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017 -General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Insurance | General: Refined Methodology And Assumptions For Analyzing Insurer Capital Adequacy Using The Risk-Based Insurance Capital Model, June 7, 2010

Related Research

- Peru Outlook Revised To Negative On Heightened Political Risk; 'BBB/A-2' Foreign Currency Ratings Affirmed Dec. 12, 2022
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment: Peru, Sept. 27, 2022

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Action		
	То	From
Banco de Credito del Peru		
Issuer credit rating	BBB/Negative/A-2	BBB/Stable/A-2
MiBanco Banco de La Microem	presa S.A.	
Issuer credit rating	BBB/Negative/A-2	BBB/Stable/A-2
Scotiabank Peru S.A.A.		
Issuer credit rating	BBB/Negative/A-2	BBB/Stable/A-2
Banco BBVA Peru		
Issuer credit rating	BBB/Negative/A-2	BBB/Stable/A-2

Ratings Affirmed

Banco Internacional del Peru S.A.A - Interbank		
Issuer credit rating	BBB-/Stable/	
Intercorp Financial Services Inc.		
Issuer credit rating	BBB-/Negative/A-3	
Credicorp Ltd.		
Issuer credit rating	BBB/Negative/	
Corporacion Financiera de Desarroll	0	
S.A.		
Issuer credit rating	BBB-/Stable/A-3	

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE

CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.