## CREDICORP Ltd.

Lima, Peru, November 7th 2019 - Credicorp (NYSE: BAP) announced its unaudited results for the third quarter of 2019. These results are consolidated according to IFRS in Soles.

## Third Quarter Results 2019

In 3Q19, Credicorp reported net income of S/ 1,093.0 million, which translated into an ROAE and ROAA of 17.1\% and 2.4\%, respectively. This result represented a decline of $-0.5 \%$ and growth of $+8.1 \%$ and $+8.8 \%$ in net income QoQ, YoY and at year-to-date respectively.

The QoQ evolution registered an increase in net interest income (NII), in line with an uptick in loan growth. The aforementioned was offset by an increase in the expense for provisions for loan losses, mainly at BCP Stand-alone, and by growth in operating expenses.

The increase in net income YoY and at the year-to-date level was mainly attributable to growth in net interest income (NII) and to an increase in non-financial income, which was driven by an increase in the net gain on sales of securities. This was partially offset by an increase in the expense for provisions for loan losses and by growth in operating expenses.

The results in 3Q19 reveal:

- QoQ expansion of average daily loan balances in all segments, which was primarily attributable to loan growth in Retail Banking. In the YoY analysis, which eliminates the seasonal effect, total loans measured in average daily balances grew $7.0 \%$, which represented an improvement over last quarter's figure. Growth was led by Retail Banking through the Mortgage, Credit Card and Consumer segments. Additionally, portfolio growth was mainly in local currency.
- NII expanded $+0.9 \%$ QoQ and $+6.5 \%$ YoY in line with loan growth, which offset higher interest expenses on bonds and subordinated notes that was related to the liability management in BCP Stand-alone that affected both local as well as foreign currency. This extended maturities and reduced rates on both issuances. Additionally, related with better-than-expected demand, BCP raise new money from this transaction in both currencies. At the year-to-date level, the evolution was similar: NII expanded $+7.7 \%$ due to growth in total loans, which registered growth primarily in higher-margin segments within BCP Stand-alone and in local currency. However, because interest earning assets grew at a higher pace than NII, the net interest margin (NIM) fell -8 bps QoQ and -13 pbs YoY. YTD, NIM increased +6 bps.
- Provisions for loan losses net of recoveries registered growth of 12.2\%, 14.4\% and 18.7\% QoQ, YoY and in YTD terms respectively. In this scenario, the cost of risk (CofR) increased +15 bps QoQ, +12 bps YoY and +16 bps in YTD terms, which was primarily due to an increase in the CofR at BCP Stand-alone after the provisions requirement for the Retail Banking portfolio rose.
- In this context, risk-adjusted NIM fell -18 bps QoQ, -18 bps YoY and -5 bps at the YTD , in line with growth in the CofR.
- Non-financial income expanded $+6.6 \%$ QoQ. This growth was primarily attributable to an increase in the net gain on sales of securities due to favorable evolution at BCP Stand-alone and, to a lesser extent, to growth in fee income. It is important to note that the pace of growth of fee income has slowed throughout the years due to regulatory changes that affect the fees charged to retail clients; more competition in the market; and the current transactional strategy to encourage clients to migrate to digital channels.
- The insurance underwriting result reported an increase of $+6.0 \%$ QoQ, $3.7 \%$ YoY and $+1.0 \%$ at the YTD level, which was primarily attributable to an increase in net earned premiums for life insurance and property and casualty insurance.
- The efficiency ratio increased +10 bps QoQ , in line with a seasonal increase in operating expenses given that expenses tend to be higher in the second half of the year. The efficiency ratio fell -40 bps both YoY and at the YTD level, in line with growth in net interest income (NII), which offset the increase in salaries and employee benefits.
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Financial Overview

| Credicorp Ltd. S/ 000 | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Net interest income | 2,139,133 | 2,256,757 | 2,277,384 | 0.9\% | 6.5\% | 6,244,852 | 6,723,059 | 7.7\% |
| Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries | $(439,558)$ | $(448,294)$ | $(502,772)$ | 12.2\% | 14.4\% | $(1,123,754)$ | $(1,334,277)$ | 18.7\% |
| Risk-adjusted net interest income | 1,699,575 | 1,808,463 | 1,774,612 | -1.9\% | 4.4\% | 5,121,098 | 5,388,782 | 5.2\% |
| Non-financial income ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,098,878 | 1,191,449 | 1,270,586 | 6.6\% | 15.6\% | 3,257,574 | 3,632,542 | 11.5\% |
| Insurance underw riting result | 121,251 | 118,682 | 125,775 | 6.0\% | 3.7\% | 350,264 | 353,811 | 1.0\% |
| Total expenses ${ }^{(1)}$ | $(1,525,455)$ | $(1,582,853)$ | $(1,651,622)$ | 4.3\% | 8.3\% | $(4,498,228)$ | $(4,772,807)$ | 6.1\% |
| Profit before income tax | 1,394,249 | 1,535,741 | 1,519,351 | -1.1\% | 9.0\% | 4,230,708 | 4,602,328 | 8.8\% |
| Income taxes | $(363,154)$ | $(415,210)$ | $(403,771)$ | -2.8\% | 11.2\% | $(1,136,557)$ | $(1,242,954)$ | 9.4\% |
| Net profit | 1,031,095 | 1,120,531 | 1,115,580 | -0.4\% | 8.2\% | 3,094,151 | 3,359,374 | 8.6\% |
| Non-controlling interest | 19,809 | 21,958 | 22,545 | 2.7\% | 13.8\% | 67,219 | 66,900 | -0.5\% |
| Net profit attributable to Credicorp | 1,011,286 | 1,098,573 | 1,093,035 | -0.5\% | 8.1\% | 3,026,932 | 3,292,474 | 8.8\% |
| Net income / share (S/) | 12.68 | 13.77 | 13.70 | -0.5\% | 8.1\% | 37.95 | 41.28 | 8.8\% |
| Loans | 105,028,343 | 109,381,123 | 112,209,990 | 2.6\% | 6.8\% | 105,028,343 | 112,209,990 | 6.8\% |
| Deposits and obligations | 97,375,411 | 103,157,044 | 107,391,720 | 4.1\% | 10.3\% | 97,375,411 | 107,391,720 | 10.3\% |
| Net equity | 23,006,133 | 25,221,894 | 26,000,638 | 3.1\% | 13.0\% | 23,006,133 | 26,000,638 | 13.0\% |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 5.53\% | 5.48\% | 5.40\% | -8 bps | -13 bps | 5.31\% | 5.37\% | 6 bps |
| Risk-adjusted Net interest margin ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 4.39\% | 4.39\% | 4.21\% | -18 bps | -18 bps | 4.35\% | 4.30\% | -5 bps |
| Funding $\operatorname{cost}^{(1)(2)}$ | 2.34\% | 2.45\% | 2.46\% | 1 bps | 12 bps | 2.29\% | 2.40\% | 11 bps |
| ROAE ${ }^{(2)}$ | 18.0\% | 18.0\% | 17.1\% | -90 bps | -90 bps | 18.0\% | 17.6\% | -40 bps |
| ROAA ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 0 bps | 0 bps | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 0 bps |
| Loan portfolio quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IOL ratio ${ }^{(3)}$ | 3.04\% | 3.00\% | 2.98\% | -2 bps | $-6 \mathrm{bps}$ | 3.04\% | 2.98\% | -6 bps |
| IOL over 90 days ratio | 2.29\% | 2.22\% | 2.26\% | 4 bps | -3 bps | 2.29\% | 2.26\% | -3 bps |
| NPL ratio ${ }^{(4)}$ | 4.15\% | 4.11\% | 4.07\% | -4 bps | -8 bps | 4.15\% | 4.07\% | -8 bps |
| Cost of risk ${ }^{(2)(5)}$ | 1.67\% | 1.64\% | 1.79\% | 15 bps | 12 bps | 1.43\% | 1.59\% | 16 bps |
| Coverage ratio of IOLs | 154.3\% | 148.5\% | 148.8\% | 30 bps | -550 bps | 154.3\% | 148.8\% | -550 bps |
| Coverage ratio of IOL 90-days | 205.0\% | 201.3\% | 196.0\% | $-530 \mathrm{bps}$ | -900 bps | 205.0\% | 196.0\% | -900 bps |
| Coverage ratio of NPLs | 112.8\% | 108.5\% | 108.9\% | 40 bps | -390 bps | 112.8\% | 108.9\% | -390 bps |
| Operating efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{(1)(6)}$ | 43.6\% | 43.1\% | 43.2\% | 10 bps | -40 bps | 43.2\% | 42.8\% | -40 bps |
| Operating expenses / Total average assets ${ }^{(7)}$ | 3.80\% | 3.68\% | 3.68\% | 0 bps | -12 bps | 3.64\% | 3.61\% | $-3 \mathrm{bps}$ |
| Insurance ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined ratio of P\&C ${ }^{(1)(8)(9)}$ | 96.5\% | 97.5\% | 97.9\% | 40 bps | 140 bps | 100.3\% | 99.8\% | -50 bps |
| Loss ratio ${ }^{(9)(10)}$ | 59.1\% | 64.1\% | 63.8\% | -30 bps | 470 bps | 58.5\% | 64.5\% | 600 bps |
| Underw riting result / net earned premiums ${ }^{(9)}$ | 9.2\% | 6.9\% | 7.2\% | 30 bps | -200 bps | 8.3\% | 6.5\% | -180 bps |
| Capital adequacy ${ }^{(11)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIS ratio ${ }^{(12)}$ | 14.94\% | 14.95\% | 15.45\% | 50 bps | 51 bps | 14.94\% | 15.45\% | 51 bps |
| Tier 1 ratio ${ }^{(13)}$ | 10.96\% | 11.33\% | 11.79\% | 46 bps | 83 bps | 10.96\% | 11.79\% | 83 bps |
| Common equity tier 1 ratio ${ }^{(14)}$ | 11.61\% | 11.82\% | 11.95\% | 13 bps | 34 bps | 11.61\% | 11.95\% | 34 bps |
| Employees ${ }^{(1)}$ | 33,643 | 34,963 | 35,119 | 0.4\% | 4.4\% | 33,643 | 35,119 | 4.4\% |
| Share Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outstanding Shares | 94,382 | 94,382 | 94,382 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 94,382 | 94,382 | 0.0\% |
| Treasury Shares ${ }^{(15)}$ | 14,621 | 14,621 | 14,621 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 14,621 | 14,621 | 0.0\% |
| Floating Shares | 79,761 | 79,761 | 79,761 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 79,761 | 79,761 | 0.0\% |

(1) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report.
(2) Annualized.
(3) Internal overdue loans: includes overdue loans and loans under legal collection, according to our internal policy for overdue loans. Internal Overdue ratio: Internal overdue loans / Total loans
(4) Non-performing loans (NPL): Internal overdue loans + Refinanced loans. NPL ratio: NPL / Total loans
(5) Cost of risk: Annualized Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries / Total loans.
(6) Efficiency ratio = (Salaries and employee benefits + Administrative expenses + Depreciation and amortization + Association in participation + Acquisition cost) / (Net interest income + Fee Income + Net gain on foreign exchange transactions + Net Gain From associates + Net gain on derivatives held for trading + Result on exchange differences + Net Premiums Earned)
(7) Operating expenses / Average of Total Assets. Average is calculated with period-beginning and period-ending balances. "
(8) Combined ratio $=($ Net claims / Net earned premiums $)+[(A c q u i s i t i o n ~ c o s t ~+~ O p e r a t i n g ~ e x p e n s e s) ~ / ~ N e t ~ e a r n e d ~ p r e m i u m s] . ~ D o e s ~ n o t ~ i n c l u d e ~ L i f e ~ i n s u r a n c e ~ b u s i n e s s . ~$
(9) Considers Grupo Pacifico's figures before eliminations for consolidation to Credicorp.
(10) Net claims / Net earned premiums.
(11) All Capital ratios are for BCP Stand-alone and based on Peru GAAP
(12) Regulatory Capital / Risk-weighted assets (legal minimum $=10 \%$ since July 2011).
(13) Tier 1 = Capital + Legal and other capital reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + ( $0.5 \times$ Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries) - Goodwill

- ( 0.5 x Investment in subsidiaries) + Perpetual subordinated debt (maximum amount that can be included is $17.65 \%$ of Capital + Reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries - Goodwill).
(14) Common Equity Tier I = Capital + Reserves - 100\% of applicable deductions (investment in subsidiaries, goodwill, intangibles and net deferred taxes that rely on future profitability) + retained earnings + unrealized gains.
Adjusted Risk-Weighted Assets = Risk-weighted assets - (RWA Intangible assets, excluding goodwill, + RWA Deferred tax assets generated as a result of temporary differences in income tax, in excess of 10\% of CET1, + RWA Deferred tax assets generated as a result of past losses)."
(15) These shares are held by Atlantic Security Holding Corporation (ASHC)


## Credicorp and subsidiaries

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Earnings contribution * } \\ \text { S/ } 000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% changeSep $19 /$ Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Universal Banking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCP Stand-alone | 712,427 | 790,383 | 831,423 | 5.2\% | 16.7\% | 2,163,603 | 2,437,771 | 12.7\% |
| BCP Bolivia | 15,360 | 26,806 | 25,575 | -4.6\% | 66.5\% | 55,384 | 65,021 | 17.4\% |
| Microfinance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mibanco ${ }^{(1)}$ | 102,426 | 96,906 | 95,137 | -1.8\% | -7.1\% | 345,391 | 291,654 | -15.6\% |
| Encumbra | 1,310 | 1,263 | 852 | -32.5\% | -35.0\% | 3,171 | 3,940 | 24.3\% |
| Insurance and Pensions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grupo Pacifico ${ }^{(2)}$ | 97,269 | 97,133 | 88,949 | -8.4\% | -8.6\% | 243,638 | 263,239 | 8.0\% |
| Prima AFP | 41,476 | 50,367 | 42,394 | -15.8\% | 2.2\% | 109,115 | 149,761 | 37.3\% |
| Investment Banking and Wealth Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credicorp Capital | 17,770 | 10,823 | 13,010 | 20.2\% | -26.8\% | 49,973 | 39,252 | -21.5\% |
| Atlantic Security Bank | 35,089 | 50,592 | 43,376 | -14.3\% | 23.6\% | 94,894 | 143,716 | 51.4\% |
| Others ${ }^{(3)}$ | $(11,841)$ | $(25,700)$ | $(47,681)$ | 85.5\% | 302.7\% | $(38,237)$ | $(101,879)$ | 166.4\% |
| Net income attributed to Credicorp | 1,011,286 | 1,098,573 | 1,093,035 | -0.5\% | 8.1\% | 3,026,932 | 3,292,475 | 8.8\% |

*Contributions to Credicorp reflect the eliminations for consolidation purposes (e.g. eliminations for transactions among Credicorp's subsidiaries or between Credicorp and its subsidiaries)
(1) The figure is lower than the net income of Mibanco because Credicorp owns $99.921 \%$ of Mibanco (directly and indirectly).
(2) The contribution is higher than Grupo Pacifico's net income because Credicorp owns $65.20 \%$ directly, and $33.59 \%$ through Grupo Credito.
(3) Includes Grupo Credito excluding Prima (Servicorp and Emisiones BCP Latam), others of Atlantic Security Holding Corporation and others of Credicorp Ltd.

| ROAE | Quarter |  |  | YTD |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |
| Universal Banking |  |  |  |  |  |
| BCP Stand-alone | $21.0 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $21.5 \%$ |
| BCP Bolivia | $9.5 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| Microfinance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mibanco $^{(1)}$ | $22.4 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| Encumbra | $8.9 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Insurance and Pensions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grupo Pacifico $^{(2)}$ | $15.4 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| Prima | $27.6 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $26.0 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
| Investment Banking and Wealth Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Credicorp Capital | $9.8 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| Atlantic Security Bank | $18.6 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| Credicorp | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6} \%$ |

(1) ROAE including goodwill of BCP from the acquisition of Edyficar (Approximately US\$ 50.7 million) was $20.8 \%$ in $3 \mathrm{Q} 18,18.6 \%$ in 2 Q 19 and $17.5 \%$ in 3Q19. YTD was $24.7 \%$ for September 2018 and $18.4 \%$ for September 2019.
(2) Figures include unrealized gains or losses that are considered in Pacifico's Net Equity from the investment portfolio of Pacifico Vida. ROAE excluding such unrealized gains was $18.7 \%$ in 3Q18, 18.6\% in 2Q19 and 16.3\% in 3Q19." YTD was $15.3 \%$ for September 2018 and 15.7\% for September 2019.

## 1. Interest-earning assets (IEA)

At the end of September 2019, the IEAs registered growth of $+2.8 \%$ QoQ. This was primarily attributable to an increase in loans and available funds. The YoY evolution, which eliminates the effects of seasonality on loans, posted growth of $+10.1 \%$ YoY in IEAs, which was due primarily to growth in loans and available funds and, to a lesser extent, to an increase in investments. Loans, our most profitable assets, registered growth of $+2.0 \%$ QoQ and $+7.0 \%$ YoY in average daily loan balances, which was mainly attributable to expansion in BCP Stand-alone's portfolios, where the increase in Retail Banking was particularly noteworthy. Expansion was also associated, although to a lesser extent, with growth in Wholesale Banking.

| Interest earning assets S/ 000 | As of |  |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Cash and due from banks ${ }^{(1)}$ | 13,068,539 | 17,298,234 | 20,004,002 | 15.6\% | 53.1\% |
| Interbank funds | 357,910 | 190,415 | 254,175 | 33.5\% | -29.0\% |
| Total investments ${ }^{(2)}$ | 31,773,217 | 34,414,427 | 33,956,227 | -1.3\% | 6.9\% |
| Cash collateral, reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrow ing | 4,447,783 | 4,445,749 | 3,903,051 | -12.2\% | -12.2\% |
| Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss ${ }^{(2)}$ | 572,872 | 588,074 | 617,387 | 5.0\% | 7.8\% |
| Total loans ${ }^{(3)}$ | 105,028,343 | 109,381,123 | 112,209,990 | 2.6\% | 6.8\% |
| Total interest earning assets | 155,248,664 | 166,318,022 | 170,944,832 | 2.8\% | 10.1\% |

(1) The amounts differ from those previously reported due to the reclassification to the item "Cash collateral, reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing" mainly for the cash collateral in dollars delivered to the BCRP, previously presented in the item "Cash and due from banks".
(2) The figures differ from those previously reported due to the opening of "Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss".
(3) Quarter-end balances.

| Total Investments S/ 000 | As of |  | Sep 19 | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 |  | QoQ | YoY |
| Fair value through profit or loss investments ${ }^{(1)}$ | 4,149,319 | 4,024,490 | 3,808,137 | -5.4\% | -8.2\% |
| Fair value through other comprehensive income investments | 23,516,932 | 26,800,577 | 26,794,192 | 0.0\% | 13.9\% |
| Amortized cost investments | 4,106,966 | 3,589,360 | 3,353,898 | -6.6\% | -18.3\% |
| Total investments | 31,773,217 | 34,414,427 | 33,956,227 | -1.3\% | 6.9\% |

(1) The figures differ from those previously reported due to the disclosure of "Financial assets designated at fair value through profir or loss" as a separate item within IEA

### 1.1. Evolution of IEA

## Total loans

Total loans, measured in quarter-end balances, grew $+2.6 \%$ QoQ, which was mainly attributable to growth in the Ioan portfolio for Universal Banking:
(i) At BCP Stand-alone, the largest increase was generated by Retail Banking and driven by growth in all segments, where Mortgage and Consumer continue to post noteworthy results; the expansion in Wholesale Banking was attributable to growth in both the Corporate and Middle Market Banking segments.
(ii) At BCP Bolivia, growth in quarter end balances QoQ was attributable mainly to the Corporate and Mortgage segments followed by growth in the Consumer segment.

YoY, loans expanded $+6.8 \%$, driven by growth in the majority of segments, which was led, in order of magnitude, by:
(i) Growth in Retail Banking, led by the Mortgage and Consumer segments.
(ii) Growth in the Wholesale Banking portfolio, led by Middle Market Banking.
(iii) Growth in loans at Mibanco and BCP Bolivia.

## Investments

Total investments fell -1.3\% QoQ. In the YoY evolution, total investments increased $+6.9 \%$, which reflected a slight recomposition where fair value through other comprehensive income investments (formerly securities available for sale) increased their share of total investments while investments at amortized cost and fair value through profit and loss investments posted decreases.

## Other IEA

Available funds grew 15.6\% QoQ and 53.1\% YoY due to growth in FC funds held at BCRP and, to a lesser extent, to an increase in FC balances in foreign banks after the level of foreign currency funding at BCP Stand-alone rose.

### 1.2. Credicorp Loans

### 1.2.1. Loan evolution by business segment

The chart below shows the composition of loans by subsidiary and loan segment measured in average daily balances. These balances provide the most accurate platform to analyze the evolution of income generation from interest on loans, which constitutes Credicorp's main source of income. Additionally, average daily balances reflect trends or variations to a different degree than quarter-end balances which may include pre-payments or loans made at the end of the quarter, that affect average daily balances less than quarter-end balances.

Average daily loan balances posted growth of $+2.0 \%$ QoQ. Similar to last quarter, this expansion was driven by the results of the Mortgage and Consumer segments. YoY, average daily loan balances expanded $+7.0 \%$. This evolution reflected a significant increase in the Mortgage segment followed by slighter increases in Credit Cards, Consumer and SME-Pyme. Growth was also driven, albeit to a lesser extent, to an improvement in the results for Wholesale Banking, where Middle Market banking drove expansion. Growth in loan balances both QoQ and YoY was concentrated in local currency.

Loan evolution measured in average daily balances by segment ${ }^{(1)}$

|  | TOTAL LOANS <br> Expressed in million S/ |  |  | \% change |  | \% Part. in total loans |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 |
| BCP Stand-alone | 85,289 | 89,632 | 91,700 | 2.3\% | 7.5\% | 81.8\% | 81.9\% | 82.1\% |
| Wholesale Banking | 44,963 | 45,883 | 46,434 | 1.2\% | 3.3\% | 43.1\% | 41.9\% | 41.6\% |
| Corporate | 27,499 | 28,065 | 28,024 | -0.1\% | 1.9\% | 26.4\% | 25.6\% | 25.1\% |
| Middle - Market | 17,464 | 17,818 | 18,410 | 3.3\% | 5.4\% | 16.7\% | 16.3\% | 16.5\% |
| Retail Banking | 40,326 | 43,749 | 45,266 | 3.5\% | 12.3\% | 38.7\% | 40.0\% | 40.5\% |
| SME-Business | 5,384 | 5,340 | 5,544 | 3.8\% | 3.0\% | 5.2\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% |
| SME - Pyme | 8,939 | 9,558 | 9,851 | 3.1\% | 10.2\% | 8.6\% | 8.7\% | 8.8\% |
| Mortgage | 14,159 | 15,539 | 16,095 | 3.6\% | 13.7\% | 13.6\% | 14.2\% | 14.4\% |
| Consumer | 7,275 | 7,878 | 8,239 | 4.6\% | 13.2\% | 7.0\% | 7.2\% | 7.4\% |
| Credit Card | 4,569 | 5,433 | 5,538 | 1.9\% | 21.2\% | 4.4\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |
| Mibanco | 9,585 | 10,031 | 10,068 | 0.4\% | 5.0\% | 9.2\% | 9.2\% | 9.0\% |
| Bolivia | 6,888 | 7,244 | 7,431 | 2.6\% | 7.9\% | 6.6\% | 6.6\% | 6.7\% |
| ASB | 2,551 | 2,530 | 2,467 | -2.5\% | -3.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 2.2\% |
| BAP's total loans | 104,313 | 109,436 | 111,666 | 2.0\% | 7.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Highest growth in volumes
Largest contraction in volumes
For consolidation purposes, loans generated in FC are converted to LC.
(1) Includes Work out unit, and other banking

# Loan Growth QoQ in Average Daily Balances 

Expressed in millions of S/


In the analysis by segment, we see that QoQ growth in loans measured in average daily balances reflects:
(i) Growth across BCP Stand-alone's portfolios and in the portfolios of other subsidiaries with the exception of ASB.
(ii) The Retail Banking segments at BCP Stand-alone -fueled mainly by expansion in the Mortgage and Consumer segments- contributed the most to portfolio growth.

It is important to note that real loan growth in average daily balances was situated at $+1.2 \% \mathrm{QoQ}$ if we eliminate the effect of $+2.6 \%$ QoQ appreciation of the dollar.

Loan Growth YoY in Average Daily Balances
Expressed in millions of S/
+7.0\% YoY


The YoY analysis by segment, measured in average daily balances, shows the following:
(i) Within Retail Banking, the Mortgage segment evolution stands out ( $+\mathrm{S} / 1,936$ million, $+13.7 \% \mathrm{YoY}$ ), growing consistently through several previous quarters.
(ii) Other significant variations were seen in the Credit Card segment ( $+\mathrm{S} / 969$ million), Consumer ( $+\mathrm{S} / 964$ million) and SME-Pyme ( $+\mathrm{S} / 912$ million) within Retail Banking and in Middle Market Banking (+S/945 million) and Corporate ( $+\mathrm{S} / 526$ million) in Wholesale Banking .
(iii) Loan growth at BCP Bolivia was situated at $+7.9 \%$ YoY. This evolution was mainly attributable to growth in the Corporate and Mortgage (regulated) portfolio followed by loan expansion in the Consumer portfolio.
(iv) Loan growth at Mibanco ( $+5.0 \%$ YoY), which reflects the bank's strategy to grow loans hand-in-hand with its commitment to expanding financial exclusion (bringing new clients into the system) while supporting the development of current clients.

It is important to note that real loan growth YoY in average daily balances was situated at $+6.3 \%$ if we eliminate the effect of appreciation in the US Dollar over the year ( $+2.5 \%$ YoY).

### 1.2.2. Evolution of the level of dollarization by segment

Loan evolution by currency - average daily balances ${ }^{(1)}$

|  | DOMESTIC CURRENCY LOANS Expressed in million S/ |  |  |  |  | FOREGN CURRENCY LOANS <br> Expressed in million US\$ |  |  |  |  | \% part. by currency 3Q19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | LC | FC |
| BCP Stand-alone | 52,659 | 56,165 | 57,636 | 2.6\% | 9.5\% | 9,919 | 10,075 | 10,132 | 0.6\% | 2.1\% | 62.9\% | 37.1\% |
| Wholesale Banking | 20,149 | 19,876 | 19,964 | 0.4\% | -0.9\% | 7,544 | 7,829 | 7,873 | 0.6\% | 4.4\% | 43.0\% | 57.0\% |
| Corporate | 11,775 | 11,581 | 11,320 | -2.3\% | -3.9\% | 4,780 | 4,962 | 4,969 | 0.1\% | 3.9\% | 40.4\% | 59.6\% |
| Middle-Market | 8,374 | 8,295 | 8,644 | 4.2\% | 3.2\% | 2,763 | 2,867 | 2,905 | 1.3\% | 5.1\% | 47.0\% | 53.0\% |
| Retail Banking | 32,510 | 36,289 | 37,672 | 3.8\% | 15.9\% | 2,376 | 2,246 | 2,258 | 0.6\% | -4.9\% | 83.2\% | 16.8\% |
| SME-Business | 2,484 | 2,542 | 2,614 | 2.9\% | 5.2\% | 881 | 843 | 871 | 3.4\% | -1.2\% | 47.2\% | 52.8\% |
| SME - Pyme | 8,672 | 9,324 | 9,628 | 3.3\% | 11.0\% | 81 | 70 | 66 | -5.8\% | -18.3\% | 97.7\% | 2.3\% |
| Mortgage | 11,328 | 13,008 | 13,632 | 4.8\% | 20.3\% | 861 | 762 | 733 | -3.9\% | -14.9\% | 84.7\% | 15.3\% |
| Consumer | 6,111 | 6,732 | 7,057 | 4.8\% | 15.5\% | 354 | 345 | 352 | 1.9\% | -0.7\% | 85.7\% | 14.3\% |
| Credit Card | 3,916 | 4,684 | 4,742 | 1.2\% | 21.1\% | 199 | 226 | 237 | 4.9\% | 19.3\% | 85.6\% | 14.4\% |
| Mibanco | 9,048 | 9,489 | 9,522 | 0.4\% | 5.2\% | 163 | 163 | 162 | -0.5\% | -0.7\% | 94.6\% | 5.4\% |
| Bolivia | - | - | - | - | - | 2,094 | 2,181 | 2,210 | 1.3\% | 5.5\% | - | 100.0\% |
| ASB | - | - | - | - | - | 775 | 762 | 734 | -3.7\% | -5.4\% | - | 100.0\% |
| Total loans | 61,707 | 65,654 | 67,158 | 2.3\% | 8.8\% | 12,952 | 13,181 | 13,238 | 0.4\% | 2.2\% | 60.1\% | 39.9\% |

Highest growth in volumes
Largest contraction in volumes
(1) Includes Work out unit, and other banking.

The analysis of loan expansion by currency shows that QoQ and YoY growth in the Retail segment and at the Mibanco subsidiary were attributable to the LC portfolio. In contrast, growth in Wholesale Banking and at other subsidiaries was mainly associated with the FC portfolio.

## YoY evolution of the level of dollarization by segment ${ }^{(1)(2)(3)}$


(1) Average daily balances.
(2) The FC share of Credicorp's loan portfolio is calculated including BCP Bolivia and ASB, however the chart shows only the loan books of BCP Stand-alone and Mibanco. (3) The year with the historic maximum level of dollarization for Wholesale Banking was 2012, for Mibanco was 2016 and for the rest of segments was 2009.

At BCP Stand-alone, the loan dollarization level fell to $37 \%$. As expected, the Mortgage segment continues to post a downward trend in the dollarization level of its portfolio, which fell from 20\% in September 2018 to 15\% in September 2019. The aforementioned was attributable to the fact that a large proportion of total loan disbursements was in LC.

It is important to note that, as is evident in the following figure, the percentage of the loan portfolio that is highly exposed to FX risk on credit risk remains very low.

FX risk on credit risk - BCP Stand-alone


### 1.2.3. BCRP de-dollarization plan at BCP Stand-alone

At the end of 2014, BCRP set up a Program to reduce the dollarization level of the loan book in the Peruvian Banking System. As part of this Program, BCRP set some targets to reduce the loan balances in US Dollars progressively at the end of June 2015, December 2015, December 2016, December 2017, December 2018 and December 2019. The balances that are subject to reduction targets are the total FC portfolio, with some exceptions, and the balance of the joint mortgage and car loan portfolio. The balance required at the end of December 2019 is as follows:
(i) For the total portfolio in FC, the goal set for 2018 will continue to apply. In this context, the balance at the end of December 2018 must represent no more than $80 \%$ of the total loan balance in FC reported at the end of September 2013 (excluding loans that meet certain requirements.)

At the end of September 2019, BCP Stand-alone reported a compliance level of $106 \%$ with regard to the goal set by BCRP for December 2019.
(ii) For the combined FC Mortgage and Car portfolio, the target was adjusted in December 2018 to stipulate that the balance at the end of December of 2019 must represent no more than $40 \%$ of the balance of the combined Mortgage and Car portfolio reported at the end of February 2013. Every year, the target will be adjusted by $10 \%$ to reach a minimum of $5 \%$ of Net Equity.

At the end of September 2019, BCP Stand-alone reported a compliance level of $87 \%$ with regard to the dedollarization goal set by BCRP indicated above.
1.2.4. Market share in loans

(1) Market shares are different that previously reported because now includes non-performing loans in the sample.

## Peruvian Financial System

At the end of August 2019, BCP Stand-alone continued to lead the Peruvian financial market ${ }^{(1)}$ with a market share (MS) of $28.7 \%$, which outpaced the $17.7 \%$ share posted by our closest competitor. This level was higher to that obtained in 2Q19 ( +20 bps ) but fell below that posted in 3Q18 ( -30 bps ). Mibanco registered a market share of total loans in the Financial System of 3.2\%, similar to the levels seen in 2Q19 and 3Q18.

Within Wholesale Banking, the Corporate Banking segment registered an improvement of +110 bps in its MS with regard to 2Q19's result, which contrasts with the evolution of Middle Market Banking's result, which fell -20 bps during the same period. In the YoY evolution, Corporate Banking reported an increase of +50 bps in MS while Middle Market Banking maintained the same level posted in $3 Q 18$. It is important to note that both of these $B C P$ segments continue to lead their respective markets.

Within Retail Banking, BCP continued to lead the Mortgage loan market, posting growth of +20 bps QoQ and +110 bps YoY due to a large increase in loan balances in this segment. The SME-Business segment at BCP, which tied for first place in MS in 2Q19 with a share of $31.3 \%{ }^{(2)}$, pulled ahead of its closest competitor this quarter with an MS of $32.4 \%$ versus $31.7 \%$ for the runner-up. In the Consumer and Credit Card segments, BCP ranks second in the market.

In the SME-Pyme segment, Mibanco continues to lead with an MS of 21.9\%, which falls below the MS reported in 2 Q 19 and 3Q18 of $22.2 \%$. BCP is second in the segment with an MS of $11.4 \%$.

## Bolivian Financial System

Finally, the MS reported by BCP Bolivia fell YoY and QoQ; nevertheless, the subsidiary continues to rank fifth in the Bolivian financial system with a $9.3 \%$ share of the market.

[^0]
## 2. Funding Sources

At the end of 3Q19, total funding increased QoQ and YoY. The funding structure at Credicorp registered an expansion in deposits and obligations, the group's main source of funding, posting an increase in their share of total funding. The increase in the funding volume was also attributable to a variation in the liability management of corporate bonds at BCP Stand-alone in LC and FC with extended maturity horizons and reduced rates. All of the aforementioned offset the drop in BCRP instruments and Repurchase agreements. In this context, Credicorp's funding cost remained relatively stable.

| Funding S/ 000 |  As of <br> Sep 18 Jun 19 |  | Sep 19 | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | QoQ | YoY |
| Demand deposits | 29,312,361 | 29,863,335 |  | 32,626,001 | 9.3\% | 11.3\% |
| Saving deposits | 30,396,175 | 32,604,309 | 33,681,765 | 3.3\% | 10.8\% |
| Time deposits | 30,186,076 | 32,472,216 | 33,194,331 | 2.2\% | 10.0\% |
| Severance indemnity deposits | 6,923,829 | 7,609,448 | 7,205,449 | -5.3\% | 4.1\% |
| Interest payable | 556,970 | 607,736 | 684,174 | 12.6\% | 22.8\% |
| Deposits and obligations | 97,375,411 | 103,157,044 | 107,391,720 | 4.1\% | 10.3\% |
| Due to banks and correspondents | 7,509,183 | 9,222,278 | 8,624,286 | -6.5\% | 14.8\% |
| BCRP instruments | 4,851,806 | 6,304,186 | 4,144,908 | -34.3\% | -14.6\% |
| Repurchase agreements | 2,739,630 | 2,455,665 | 2,031,025 | -17.3\% | -25.9\% |
| Bonds and notes issued | 15,194,775 | 15,058,760 | 17,160,564 | 14.0\% | 12.9\% |
| Total funding | 127,670,805 | 136,197,933 | 139,352,503 | 2.3\% | 9.1\% |

### 2.1. Funding Structure



The graph depicting the evolution of Credicorp's funding structure is calculated with quarter-end balances. The funding structure reflects:
(i) The on-going importance of deposits as the main source of funding, which imply lower costs than other alternatives in the mix, posted a significant increase in their share of total funding both QoQ (+140 bps) and YoY (+80bps) to situate at a level of $77.1 \%$ (vs. $75.7 \%$ in Jun 19 and $76.3 \%$ in Sep 18). Growth in deposits was due primarily to an increase in the volume of demand deposits and savings deposits.
(ii) The deposit mix continues to reflect significant shares for savings deposits and demand deposits, which grew QoQ and YoY. At the end of Sep 19 these deposits represented 61.7\% of total deposits (vs. 60.6\%
in Jun 19 and $61.3 \%$ in Sep 18). Both types of deposits are considered lower-cost alternatives within the deposit mix. Also, it is important to note on-going growth in time deposits, which negatively impacted the funding cost through volume and rate effects.
(iii) Within other sources of funding, the QoQ analysis reveals a contraction that was mainly associated with a decrease in the volume of BCRP Instruments, which fell from $4.8 \%$ at the end of Jun 19 to $3.0 \%$ at the close of Sep 19, to post the lowest level reported since 2014. The drop in volume was also due, albeit to a lesser extent, to a decrease in Due to banks and Correspondents (6.2\% in Sep 19 vs. $6.8 \%$ in Jun 19) and in Repurchase agreements ( $1.5 \%$ in Sep 19 vs. $1.8 \%$ in Jun 19).
(iv) It is important to note the growth in Bonds and notes issued ( $14.0 \% \mathrm{QoQ}$ and $12.9 \% \mathrm{YoY}$ ), which posted an increase in their share of other sources of funding ( $53.7 \%$ in Sep 19 vs. $45.6 \%$ in Jun 19 and $50.2 \%$ in Sep 18). This evolution was attributable to a liability management of corporate bond issuances at BCP Stand-alone.

All of the aforementioned has allowed Credicorp to maintain a relatively stable cost of funding since 2015.

### 2.2. Deposits

| Deposits and obligations | As of |  |  | \% change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S/ 000 | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Demand deposits | $29,312,361$ | $29,863,335$ | $32,626,001$ | $9.3 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| Saving deposits | $30,396,175$ | $32,604,309$ | $33,681,765$ | $3.3 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| Time deposits | $30,186,076$ | $32,472,216$ | $33,194,331$ | $2.2 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| Severance indemnity deposits | $6,923,829$ | $7,609,448$ | $7,205,449$ | $-5.3 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| Interest payable | 556,970 | 607,736 | 684,174 | $12.6 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| Deposits and obligations | $\mathbf{9 7 , 3 7 5 , 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 , 1 5 7 , 0 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 3 9 1 , 7 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3} \%$ |

Deposits and obligations reported growth of $4.1 \%$ QoQ. The QoQ evolution of the deposit mix shows:
(i) An increase in demand deposits, which grew $9.3 \% \mathrm{QoQ}$ due to an increase in the volume of interestbearing and non-interest bearing demand deposits ( $50 \%$ increase in each deposit type) at BCP Standalone. It is important to note that growth in non-interest bearing deposits was seen primarily in LC (75\% of the increase in LC) while growth in interest-bearing demand deposits is associated with FC ( $86 \%$ of the increase in FC).
(ii) Growth in savings deposits, mainly in LC, reflects the results of campaigns to capture savings, mainly through digital channels, which have good acceptance and use levels among BCP Stand-alone's clients.
(iii) The increase in the volume of time deposits at BCP Stand-alone, which was primarily attributable to an increase in the deposit balances of Wholesale Banking clients in FC and to the evolution at BCP Bolivia, which rolled out a strategy to capture deposits that are more stable in the mid-term.

The aforementioned was attenuated by the QoQ drop in:
(i) Severance indemnity deposits, due to seasonality, given that clients use the surplus available in their severance accounts (after the first yearly payment is made in 2 Q ).

In YoY terms, total deposits and obligations reported growth in all types of deposits, which reflects an increase of $10.3 \%$ in the main source of funding.

### 2.2.1. Deposits: dollarization level



The dollarization level of deposits at Credicorp fell slightly QoQ given that growth in LC volumes surpassed the expansion posted by FC volumes.

Growth in volumes in both LC and FC was posted for all types of deposits with the exception of Severance indemnity deposits. The increase in the LC volume was attributable primarily to demand deposits, particularly non-interest bearing deposits, and to a lesser extent to savings deposits. The increase in FC was due to growth in the level of demand deposits, specifically interest-bearing deposits, and in time deposits.

The YoY evolution followed a trend similar to that posted for the QoQ evolution; YoY, LC deposits grew 13.1\% while FC deposits expanded $7.7 \%$. The aforementioned was attributable to the fact that all LC deposits posted growth, led by an increase in savings deposits and demand deposits. Deposits in FC grew across deposit types with the exception of Severance indemnity deposits. These results are proof that we are on track in terms of our goal to maintain an asset and liability currency match that is aligned with Credicorp's appetite.

### 2.2.2. Market share in Deposits

## Market share in Peru



Source: SBS

## Peruvian Financial System

At the end of Aug 19, Credicorp's subsidiaries in Peru, BCP Stand-alone and Mibanco, reported an MS of 30.2\% and $2.8 \%$ (in comparison to $29.1 \%$ and $2.8 \%$ at the end of Jun 19 respectively). With these results, Credicorp continued to lead the market for total deposits with a share that considerably outpaces that of its closest competitor (with an MS of 19.0\%).

In the YoY analysis, BCP Stand-alone's MS also grew with regard to the figure in Sep 18. This was primarily attributable to an increase in the MS of demand deposits and time deposits. Mibanco's MS fell YoY ( $2.8 \%$ Aug 19 vs. $3.1 \%$ Sep 18) due to a contraction in the MS of time deposits, which feel from $6.7 \%$ in Sep 18 to $6.0 \%$ in Aug 19.

## Bolivian Financial System

BCP Bolivia continued to rank fifth in the Bolivian financial system with an MS of $9.6 \%$ at the end of Sep 19 (the same level reported in Jun 19). In the YoY analysis, the MS fell slightly in comparison to the figure posted at the end of Sep 18 (9.9\%).

### 2.3. Other funding sources

| Other funding sources | As of |  |  | \% change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| S $\boldsymbol{/ 0 0 0}$ | Sep 18 |  |  | Jun 19 | Sep 19 |
| QoQ | YoY |  |  |  |  |
| Due to banks and correspondents | $7,509,183$ | $9,222,278$ | $8,624,286$ | $-6.5 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| BCRP instruments | $4,851,806$ | $6,304,186$ | $4,144,908$ | $-34.3 \%$ | $-14.6 \%$ |
| Repurchase agreements | $2,739,630$ | $2,455,665$ | $2,031,025$ | $-17.3 \%$ | $-25.9 \%$ |
| Bonds and notes issued | $15,194,775$ | $15,058,760$ | $17,160,564$ | $14.0 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
| Total other funding sources | $\mathbf{3 0 , 2 9 5 , 3 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 4 0 , 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 9 6 0 , 7 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 \%}$ |

The Total of other funding sources fell $-3.3 \%$ QoQ. This was mainly attributable to a decrease in the level of BCRP Instruments and, to a lesser extent, to the contraction in Due to banks and correspondents and Repurchase agreements. The aforementioned was attenuated by the growth in Bonds and notes issued due to a variation in the liability management at BCP Stand-alone.

Due to banks and correspondents, which contracted due to: (i) a drop in the level of debt held with foreign financial institutions after some of BCP Stand-alone's obligations came due in the month of August for a total of $\$ 300$ million and (ii) a drop in the exchange rate.

In the case of BCRP Instruments, the QoQ decline was attributable to a drop in the level of regular repos with BCRP, which expired. These instruments dropped at both BCP Stand-alone and Mibanco.

Repurchase agreements fell QoQ after repos and Certificates of Deposit (CD) expired. The majority of these expirations were registered in LC through BCP Stand-alone and in FC through ASB.

Bonds and notes issued were the only funding source that posted growth QoQ. This increase was attributable to the liability management of corporate bonds at BCP Stand-alone. Growth in LC was attributable to restructuring operations to convert a $S / 2,000$ million issuance with an expiration date in 2020 and a coupon rate of $4.85 \%$ into a new issuance composed of a repurchase of $S / 1,600$ million plus "New Money" for $S / 900$ million with a new expiration date in September 2024 and a coupon rate of $4.60 \%$. In the case of FC, an issuance of $\$ 700$ million with an expiration date in 2020 and a coupon rate of $5.375 \%$ into a new issuance composed of a repurchase of $\$ 425$ million plus "New Money" for $\$ 275$ million with a new expiration date in January 2025 and a coupon rate of 2.70\%.

In the YoY evolution, other funding sources posted growth of $5.5 \%$. This was attributable to an increase in the level of Bonds and notes issued and Due to banks and correspondents, mainly at BCP Stand-alone and in both currencies.

### 2.4. Loan I Deposit (LID)

## Loan I Deposit Ratio by Subsidiary



The L/D ratio at Credicorp fell QoQ, situating at 104.5\%. This was attributable to the fact that growth in deposits (+4.1\%) outpaced the increase registered by loans (+2.6\%).

In the analysis by subsidiary reveals the same trend at BCP Stand-alone (105.9\% Sep 19 vs. 107.5\% Jun 19). The QoQ drop in the L/D at BCP Stand-alone took place in a scenario in which growth in the deposit volume (+4.2\%) was greater than the expansion registered for loans (+2.6\%). On the deposit side, the increase was driven by an expansion in the level of demand deposits and savings deposits. In the case of Mibanco, QoQ growth in the L/D was attributable to the fact that loan growth (+0.9\%) outpaced the expansion in deposits (0.4\%).

In the YoY analysis, the L/D for Credicorp and its subsidiaries mirrors the dynamism seen in the QoQ analysis. At the Credicorp level, on-going growth in deposits outpaces the expansion registered for loans (10.3\% vs. 6.8\%, respectively).
Local Currency
Foreign Currency



In the QoQ analysis by currency, the L/D ratio in LC at Credicorp remained stable given that the increase in deposits and loans was proportional. The L/D in FC at Credicorp fell QoQ in a scenario in which growth in deposits (+7.7\%) outpaced the expansion registered for loans (+2.6\%). The aforementioned was driven by growth in FC deposits $(+4.2 \%)$ and by a contraction in FC loans (-0.9\%) at BCP Stand-alone.

In the YoY analysis, Credicorp reported a drop in the L/D ratio for LC and FC. This was primarily driven by the fact that the level of deposits in both currencies grew at a faster rate than the expansion registered for loans.

### 2.5. Funding Cost


(1) The funding cost by currency is calculated with the average of period-beginning and period-end balances.

Credicorp's funding cost increased QoQ and YoY. The QoQ evolution shows:
(i) Growth in the funding cost in LC (+31 bps), was mainly attributable to an increase in interest expenses on bonds and notes issued, which represents $92 \%$ of the increase in LC expenses. Expansion in this line was associated with the liability management of bonds at BCP Stand-alone. Excluding the liability management one-off effects, funding cost in LC is $2.61 \%$.
(ii) The slight increase in the total funding cost (+1 bps), was primarily due to an increase in expenses for bonds and notes issued, which accounted for $45 \%$ of the increase in expenses, and for deposits, which accounted $40 \%$ of the increase.

In the case of deposits, the increase was attributable to the volume effect and deposit mix given that interest-bearing demand deposits and time deposits represent $46 \%$ of the growth in total deposits. The aforementioned was partially attenuated by the increase in non-interest bearing deposits and savings
deposits, which grew $5.4 \%$ and $3.3 \%$ respectively. These last two deposits are the lowest cost alternatives in the funding mix. In this context, the ratio remained relatively stable.
(iii) The reduction registered in the funding cost in FC (-26 bps) was primarily attributable to a decrease in interest expenses on bonds and notes after the rate for the liability management bonds at BCP Standalone dropped (going from $5.375 \%$ to $2.70 \%$ ), which offset the volume effect. Excluding liability management one-off effects, funding cost in FC is $2.30 \%$.

In the YoY analysis, growth in the funding cost was attributable to:
(i) The volume effect and mix, where time deposits increased $10 \%$ to represent $30 \%$ of the growth in the deposit volume. Consequently, time deposits registered an increase in their share of the funding mix. It is important to note that $73 \%$ of the increase in interest expenses on deposits was attributable to LC funding. The variation in funding in local currency was attributable to growth in the volumes of all deposit types in LC; time deposits represented $23 \%$ of growth.
(ii) The $14.3 \%$ increase in other interest expenses due to the application of IFRS 16, which stipulates that expenses for leasing must be included in interest expenses.
(iii) A higher volume of Bonds and notes issued in LC following the liability management of bonds at BCP Stand-alone, which occurred despite a rate drop (going from $4.85 \%$ to $4.65 \%$ ). The aforementioned was attenuated by a reduction in interest expenses in FC after international interest rates dropped; this helped mitigate the impact.

The funding cost by subsidiary is shown in the figure below:

## Funding Cost by subsidiary- Credicorp


(i) The funding cost at BCP Stand-alone followed the same trend seen with Credicorp's funding cost, where growth both QoQ and YoY was primarily associated with an increase in the volumes of time deposits and bonds and notes issued.
(ii) The funding cost at Mibanco reported growth QoQ and YoY, which reflected efforts to roll out a strategy to capture more retail funding by offering competitive rates. In the QoQ analysis, the increase posted in the ratio, which occurred despite a drop in interest expenses ( $-5.8 \%$ ), was attributable to a contraction in total funding ( $-13.9 \%$ ). YoY, interest expenses increased $3.1 \%$ due to an increase in rates while total funding contracted $-11.1 \%$ after a drop was registered in debt obligations.
(iii) The funding cost at BCP Bolivia increased QoQ and YoY. This was primarily attributable to an increase in interest expenses ( $3.8 \%$ and $10.4 \%$ respectively) on deposits; the volume and mix effect (where time deposits posted the highest growth); and other interest expenses (leasing).

## 3. Portfolio quality and Provisions for loan losses

Credicorp's Costo of Risk (CofR) increased 15 bps QoQ. This was primarily attributable to an increase in the provisions requirement in Retail Banking and, to a lesser extent, at Mibanco. In YoY and YTD terms, Credicorp's CofR also increased due to an increase in the provisions requirement for Retail Banking and, to a lesser extent, for Wholesale Banking. The delinquency ratios posted a slight improvement QoQ and YoY, which was primarily attributable to growth in the Retail Banking portfolio at BCP Stand-alone.

## Quarterly evolution of the Cost of Risk (bps)

## Year-to-date evolution of

 the Cost of Risk (bps)$\Delta$ YTD - Sep 19/ YTD - Sep $18+16 \mathrm{bps}$ in the Cost of risk

(1) Includes BCP Bolivia, ASB and eliminations for consolidation purposes

### 3.1. Provisions for loan losses

| Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries S/ 000 | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Gross provision for credit losses on loan portfolio | $(511,710)$ | $(510,045)$ | $(568,034)$ | 11.4\% | 11.0\% | (1,335,714) | $(1,531,364)$ | 14.6\% |
| Recoveries of written-off loans | 72,152 | 61,751 | 65,262 | 5.7\% | -9.5\% | 211,960 | 197,087 | -7.0\% |
| Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries | $(439,558)$ | $(448,294)$ | $(502,772)$ | 12.2\% | 14.4\% | $(1,123,754)$ | $(1,334,277)$ | 18.7\% |

Provisions for loan losses net recoveries registered increases of $12.2 \%, 14.4 \%$ and $18.7 \%$ QoQ, YoY and in YTD terms respectively. The YoY and YTD increase was due primarily to:
(i) Retail Banking: The increase was mainly generated by the SME-Pyme segment and to a lesser extent by the Consumer segment. The SME-Pyme segment registered growth mainly due to an increase in the number of days overdue reported for loans that were already considered non-performing. In the consumer segment, the increase was associated with new loans for digital consumption, which are directed at clients with higher risk. It is important to note that while this product implies higher risk, it also generates more income.
(ii) Wholesale Banking: A specific client (in the entertainment segment) experienced deterioration in its debt service capacity, which led provisions to register higher-than-normal levels. It is important to note that this loan has been adequately provisioned and as such, will require no new provisions in coming quarters.
(iii) Macroeconomic estimates: In keeping with the anticipated loss model, which includes macroeconomic estimates, the change in forecasts last quarter generated an increase in provisions in the model this quarter.

The aforementioned was offset by an improvement in the Mortgage portfolio, which was mainly attributable to an improvement in the risk profile of traditional mortgage loans and MiVivienda.

The QoQ analysis reveals an increase in provisions at both Retail Banking and Mibanco. The increase in the latter was attributable to an economic downturn and to an increase in the disbursement level this quarter. It is important to note that we have made some adjustments to origination guidelines and collection policies to impede further deterioration.

## Cost of risk

| Cost of risk and Provisions | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% changeSep $19 /$ Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2 Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Cost of risk ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1.67\% | 1.64\% | 1.79\% | 15 bps | 12 bps | 1.43\% | 1.59\% | 16 bps |
| Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries / Net interest income | 20.5\% | 19.9\% | 22.1\% | 230 bps | 150 bps | 18.0\% | 19.8\% | 100 bps |

(1) Annualized Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries / Total loans.

In this context, the CofR at Credicorp deteriorated QoQ, YoY and YTD terms given that growth in provisions outpaced that of loans.

### 3.2. Portfolio Quality: Delinquency ratios

| Portfolio quality and Delinquency ratios S/ 000 | $\begin{array}{cc} & \\ \text { Sep } 18 & \text { As of } \\ \text { Jun } 19\end{array}$ |  | Sep 19 | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | QoQ | YoY |
| Total loans (Quarter-end balance) | 105,028,343 | 109,381,123 |  | 112,209,990 | 2.6\% | 6.8\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | 4,920,319 | 4,878,150 | 4,977,809 | 2.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Write-offs | 366,709 | 407,348 | 456,932 | 12.2\% | 24.6\% |
| Internal overdue loans (IOLs) ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3,188,393 | 3,285,279 | 3,346,389 | 1.9\% | 5.0\% |
| Internal overdue loans over 90-days ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2,399,945 | 2,423,771 | 2,539,751 | 4.8\% | 5.8\% |
| Refinanced loans | 1,172,338 | 1,212,669 | 1,225,691 | 1.1\% | 4.6\% |
| Non-performing loans (NPLs) ${ }^{(2)}$ | 4,360,731 | 4,497,948 | 4,572,080 | 1.6\% | 4.8\% |
| IOL ratio | 3.04\% | 3.00\% | 2.98\% | -2 bps | -6 bps |
| IOL over 90-days ratio ${ }^{(3)}$ | 2.29\% | 2.22\% | 2.26\% | 4 bps | $-3 \mathrm{bps}$ |
| NPL ratio | 4.15\% | 4.11\% | 4.07\% | -4 bps | -8 bps |
| Coverage ratio of IOLs | 154.3\% | 148.5\% | 148.8\% | 30 bps | -550 bps |
| Coverage ratio of IOL 90-days | 205.0\% | 201.3\% | 196.0\% | $-530 \mathrm{bps}$ | -900 bps |
| Coverage ratio of NPLs | 112.8\% | 108.5\% | 108.9\% | 40 bps | -390 bps |

(1) Includes overdue loans and loans under legal collection. (Quarter-end balances)
(2) Non-performing loans include internal overdue loans and refinanced loans. (Quarter-end balances)
(3) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented in this report.

When examining the portfolio's delinquency ratios, it is important to note that:
(i) The total IOL Portfolio reported slight growth QoQ and YoY, in line with the portfolio's evolution. The IOL over 90 days portfolio increased $4.8 \%$ QoQ. This growth was mainly attributable to the Consumer segment and SME-Pyme segment, in line with the increase in provisions outlined in section 3.1. Provisions for Loan Losses.
(ii) The NPL portfolio increase $1.6 \%$ QoQ and $4.8 \%$ YoY. This was primarily driven by growth in the IOL portfolio.

Consequently, the delinquency ratios registered an improvement, which was driven by a context in which both the IOL portfolio and the NPL portfolio posted lower growth than gross loans. It is important to note that a portion of the reduction in these ratios is attributable to the sale of approximately $\mathrm{S} / 60$ million of under legal collection loans this quarter. These loans could not be written off because real guarantees were in place.

The coverage ratios improved QoQ given that growth in provisions for loan losses outpaced the expansion registered for the IOL portfolio and refinanced balances. Nevertheless, in the YoY analysis, the coverage ratios deteriorated due to an increase in charge-offs since the first quarter of the year.

Prior to analyzing the evolution of delinquency indicators, it is important to remember that:
(i) Traditional delinquency ratios (IOL and NPL ratios) continue to be distorted by the presence of loans with real estate collateral (commercial and residential properties). This means that a significant portion of loans that are more than 150 days past due cannot be written-off (despite the fact that provisions have been set aside) given that a judicial process must be initiated to liquidate the collateral, which takes five years on average.
(ii) In the second half (2H) of every year, loans are more dynamic, particularly in the SME-Pyme and Mibanco segments given that the main campaigns (Christmas and year-end campaigns) are held in the second semester $(2 \mathrm{H})$ and these short-term loans are paid off in 1 H of the following year

Delinquency Ratios


| Sep-18 | Dec-18 | Mar-19 | Jun-19 | Sep-19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -- |  |  |  |  |
| - Adjusted NPL ratio (1) | $\ldots$ | NPL ratio | $\ldots$ | Internal Overdue Loans (IOL) ratio |

(1) Adjusted NPL ratio $=($ Non-performing loans + Write-offs) $/($ Total loans + Write-offs $)$.
(2) Cost of risk = Annualized provisions for loan losses net of recoveries / Total loans.

### 3.2.1. Delinquency indicators by business line

Wholesale Banking - Delinquency ratios

(i) The QoQ analysis shows a slight reduction in the IOL and refinanced portfolios. This decrease was primarily due to loan growth during the quarter. In the YoY evolution, the NPL ratio increased. This was mainly attributable to the refinancing extended a specific client in the last quarter of 2018.

SME-Business - Delinquency ratios

(ii) The IOL and NPL ratios posted increases both QoQ and YoY. This was mainly attributable to the deterioration of the debt service capacity of a small number of clients. These loans have been fully provisioned and are backed by guarantees. It is important to note that this segment's risk quality indicators are within the appetite for risk that has been set where the objective is to maximize the portfolio's profitability by striking an adequate balance between risk quality and growth.

SME - Pyme - Delinquency ratios

(iii) In the SME-Pyme loan book, it is important to analyze the early delinquency ratio, which excludes loans that are overdue less than 60 days (volatile loans whose percentage of recovery is very high) and those overdue more than 150 days (loans that have been provisioned but which cannot be written off due to the existence of real estate collateral- commercial properties - that take five years on average to liquidate).

In 3Q19, the IOL and NPL ratios posted a slight improvement QoQ and YoY, mainly due to the sale of a portion of the under legal collection loans this quarter. The early delinquency ratio registered a slight improvement QoQ of 13 bps but reported a deterioration of 27 bps YoY. This deterioration was attributable to an increase in the number of clients whose loans are more than 60 days past due, which triggered an increase in provisions.

It is important to note that since 2017, this segment has situated comfortably within the risk appetite levels set for the segment, where the objective is to maximize the portfolio's profitability while striking an adequate balance between risk quality and portfolio growth that is in accordance with the organization's risk framework.

(iv) In terms of Mortgage loans, it is important to note that these ratios are also affected by the existence of real estate collateral and foreclosure takes around 5 years. During this period, these loans cannot be written-off, even when they are fully provisioned.

Traditional delinquency ratios fell QoQ and YoY given that the pace of growth of total loans increased after mortgage loan origination accelerated and the sale of the under legal collection loans this quarter.

The early delinquency ratio, which excludes the effect of loans that are over 150 days overdue, was relatively stable QoQ and YoY. It is important to note that this indicator is situated better than the average observed for the last three years and the organization's appetite for risk.

Consumer - Delinquency ratios

(v) The indicators for traditional delinquency registered a drop both QoQ and YoY, which was primarily attributable to growth in total loans after: i) campaigns were rolled out to offer better products and
conditions to clients, and ii) to the sale of a portion of the under legal collection loans this quarter. It is important to note that the portfolio is within the organization's appetite for risk.

Our traditional delinquency and early delinquency levels are both at the lowest levels that we have seen in the last five years, which speaks well of our risk management and collections initiatives.

Delinquency ratios have improved; nonetheless, the consumer segment has registered an increase in provisions. This was due, in part, to the higher risk assumed when generating digital sales. These products may imply greater risk, but the upside is that they are accompanied by higher income.

## Credit Card - Delinquency ratios


(vi) The Credit Card segment registered a decline in its traditional delinquency ratios QoQ. This was primarily due to the sale of the under legal collection loans this quarter and to the effect of statutory bonus payments to dependent employees in July, which prompted some clients to pay credit card debts. The early delinquency ratio posted a drop given that growth in total loans was greater than the expansion posted by loans overdue by 60 and 150 days.

In the YoY analysis, the traditional delinquency ratios also improved. This was mainly due to growth in total loans and to the sale of a portion of the portfolio. Nevertheless, early delinquency rose, driven primarily by an increase in loans that are more than 90 days overdue.

Mibanco - Delinquency ratios

(vii) The IOL ratio improved slightly QoQ and YoY due to the decrease of the write-offs. The NPL portfolio posted and improvement both QoQ and YoY due to initiatives to optimize new loan acceptance, which has help cut the number of refinanced loans.

The cost of risk deteriorated QoQ due to the effect of macroeconomic estimates, as indicated in section 3.1. Provisions for Loan Losses, and to the increase in new disbursements. In the YoY analysis, the probability of improved.

BCP Bolivia - Delinquency ratios

(viii) BCP Bolivia reported deterioration QoQ and YoY in its traditional delinquency ratios. This result was primarily attributable to Retail Banking and is aligned with the evolution posted by the financial system in general in Bolivia. Nonetheless, it is important to note that BCP Bolivia has one of the lowest delinquency ratios in the system.

In terms of the cost of risk, it presented an improvement QoQ and YoY due to the decrease in over-due days of some clients that already that already presented deteriorated loans, which represents a reduction in the probability of default in the expected loss model.

## 4. Net Interest Income (NII)

In 3Q19, NII, Credicorp's main source of income, increased $+0.9 \%$ QoQ and $+6.5 \%$ YoY while average interest earning assets rose $+2.4 \%$ QoQ and $+8.9 \%$ YoY. The aforementioned led NIM to deteriorate -8 bps QoQ and -13 bps YoY. YTD, NII increased $+7.7 \%$, which translated into an increase of +6 bps in NIM. These results were attributable to an improvement in the evolution this year versus last. Nevertheless, due to the increase in the cost of risk, risk-adjusted NIM contracted -18bps QoQ, -18bps YoY and -5bps YTD.

| Net interest income S/ 000 | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% changeSep $19 /$ Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Interest income | 2,887,326 | 3,083,623 | 3,123,672 | 1.3\% | 8.2\% | 8,489,090 | 9,208,969 | 8.5\% |
| Interest on loans | 2,538,591 | 2,632,649 | 2,701,117 | 2.6\% | 6.4\% | 7,415,916 | 7,896,052 | 6.5\% |
| Dividends on investments | 2,893 | 8,914 | 2,915 | -67.3\% | 0.8\% | 18,186 | 21,496 | 18.2\% |
| Interest on deposits w ith banks | 36,448 | 85,477 | 79,723 | -6.7\% | 118.7\% | 95,672 | 251,899 | 163.3\% |
| Interest on securities | 304,528 | 341,930 | 325,311 | -4.9\% | 6.8\% | 925,591 | 1,000,029 | 8.0\% |
| Other interest income | 4,866 | 14,653 | 14,606 | -0.3\% | 200.2\% | 33,725 | 39,493 | 17.1\% |
| Interest expense | 748,193 | 826,866 | 846,288 | 2.3\% | 13.1\% | 2,244,238 | 2,485,910 | 10.8\% |
| Interest on deposits | 293,512 | 364,997 | 372,822 | 2.1\% | 27.0\% | 877,403 | 1,091,653 | 24.4\% |
| Interest on borrow ed funds | 148,565 | 152,832 | 151,221 | -1.1\% | 1.8\% | 465,796 | 449,356 | -3.5\% |
| Interest on bonds and subordinated notes | 231,129 | 227,869 | 236,567 | 3.8\% | 2.4\% | 677,773 | 690,934 | 1.9\% |
| Other interest expense ${ }^{(1)}$ | 74,987 | 81,168 | 85,678 | 5.6\% | 14.3\% | 223,266 | 253,967 | 13.8\% |
| Net interest income ${ }^{(1)}$ | 2,139,133 | 2,256,757 | 2,277,384 | 0.9\% | 6.5\% | 6,244,852 | 6,723,059 | 7.7\% |
| Risk-adjusted Net interest income ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,699,575 | 1,808,463 | 1,774,612 | -1.9\% | 4.4\% | 5,121,098 | 5,388,782 | 5.2\% |
| Average interest earning assets | 154,824,731 | 164,668,086 | 168,631,427 | 2.4\% | 8.9\% | 156,895,533 | 166,952,088 | 6.4\% |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 5.53\% | 5.48\% | 5.40\% | -8bps | -13bps | 5.31\% | 5.37\% | 6bps |
| NIM on loans ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 7.84\% | 7.66\% | 7.74\% | 8bps | -10bps | 7.72\% | 7.46\% | -26bps |
| Risk-adjusted Net interest margin ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 4.39\% | 4.39\% | 4.21\% | -18bps | -18bps | 4.35\% | 4.30\% | -5bps |
| Net provisions for loan losses / Net interest income ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 20.55\% | 19.86\% | 22.08\% | 222bps | 153bps | 17.99\% | 19.85\% | 186bps |

(1) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report.
(2) Annualized.

### 4.1. Interest Income



In the QoQ analysis, the increase of $+1.3 \%$ in Interest Income was due primarily to growth in interest income on loans, which was in turn attributable to:
(i) Slight acceleration in the growth of average daily loan balances ( $+2.1 \%$ QoQ), which was led by Retail Banking.
(ii) The mix by segment given that although Wholesale Banking continues to represent the highest share of total loans with 41.6\%, Retail Banking has increased its share of total loans, going from 40.0\% in 2Q19 to $40.5 \%$ in 3 Q19. Additionally, although mortgage loans continue to lead growth in volumes, segments with higher margins, such as consumer and SME-Pyme, have also registered significant increases.
(iii) The currency mix given that a larger portion of loan expansion was generated by LC products, which offer better margins than their FC counterparts. The effect of the currency mix also favored growth in interest income on loans.

In the YoY analysis, interest income expanded $+8.2 \%$, which represented a slower pace of growth than that registered in 2Q19. Growth in net interest income was mainly attributable to an increase in interest income from loans (+6.4\%).

YTD, interest income rose $+8.5 \%$, which was due primarily to an increase in income from interest on loans, which was in turn attributable to:
(i) The volume effect due to an uptick in the growth of average daily balances in all segments with the exception of ASB, which translates into total loan growth of $+7.1 \%$. This increase was driven mainly by Retail Banking, which registered $a+12.3 \%$ increase in average daily loan balances YoY.
(ii) The mix by segment as Retail Banking represented 40.5\% of total loans versus 38.7\% in 3Q18.
(iii) The currency mix was also favorable, given that the expansions reported in average daily balances was mainly attributable to growth in the LC portfolio of $+15.9 \% \mathrm{YoY}$.

To a lesser extent, interest income increased due to:
(i) Growth in interest on deposits in other banks, which reflects an increase in the volume of BCRP funds. It is important to note that the majority of funds held in BCRP are in FC because the reserve requirement for FC is significantly higher than that required for LC.
(ii) The increase in interest on securities, which corresponds to growth of $13.9 \%$ YoY in the fair value through other comprehensive income investments portfolio. Portfolio growth at the Credicorp level was attributable to expansion in the portfolios of BCP Stand-alone and Pacifico, which grew $28 \%$ and $16 \%$ respectively.

### 4.2. Interest Expenses



In the QoQ analysis, interest expenses increased $+2.3 \%$, which was attributable firstly to bonds and subordinated notes and secondly, to the increase in interest expenses on deposits.

Growth of $3.8 \%$ in expenses for bonds and subordinated notes was attributable to liability management of corporate bonds issued by BCP Stand-alone in the third quarter of the year, both in local and foreign currency, which allowed to extend the maturity and at the same time reduce the interest rates of both issuances with maturity at 2020. Additionally, due to higher demand, "new money" was raised for both corporate bonds and the nominal amounts were increased by 900 million for LC and 275 million in FC.

The factors that explain the 2.1\% increase in interest expenses on deposits QoQ are:
(i) The volume effect given that the total deposit balance grew 4.1\% QoQ.
(ii) The deposit mix given that the corresponding increase was mainly due to growth in balances for interestbearing deposits, specifically savings deposits ( $+3.3 \%$ ) and time deposits ( $+2.2 \%$ ).
(iii) The effect of the currency mix given that the increase in the deposit volume was generated by LC deposits, which pay higher rates than FC deposits.

In the YoY analysis, interest expenses grew $+13.1 \%$. Growth in this component was mainly driven by the $+27 \%$ YoY expansion registered for interest expenses on deposits.

YTD, interest expenses grew $+10.8 \%$. This growth was primarily attributable to the $+24.4 \%$ expansion in interest income on deposits, which was in turn due to:
(i) The volume effect given that all deposit types increased YoY.
(ii) The effect of the deposit mix given that the deposits that posted the highest increases in volume were savings deposits ( $+10.8 \%$ ) followed by time deposits ( $+10 \%$ ).
(iii) The currency mix effect given that the increase in the deposit volume was generated by LC deposits.

An increase was registered for interest expenses for bonds and subordinated notes for issuances made in the third quarter of the year, as indicated above.

### 4.3. Net Interest Margin (NIM) and Risk-Adjusted NIM

## Credicorp's NIM and Risk-Adjusted NIM ${ }^{(1)}$


(1) Starting on 1Q17, we exclude derivatives from the NII result. For comparative purposes, figures starting from 1Q16 have been recalculated with the new methodology

NIM registered a positive evolution at the YTD, which was attributable to:
(i) Higher expansion in NII, which, as explained earlier, was in line with loan growth, mainly in retail banking.
(ii) The increase in interest income on securities due to growth in the fair value through other comprehensive income investments (formerly securities available for sale).
Risk-adjusted NIM fell -18pbs both QoQ and YoY and -5bps YTD due to an increase in provisions, as indicated in the previous section. Growth in loans, as discussed earlier, was driven mainly by Retail Banking, which offers higher margins but also implies higher risk.

NIM on loans deteriorated YoY (-10 bps) and at the YTD ( -26 bps ) in a context of low market rates. The drop-in rates has hit the Wholesale Banking segments at BCP Stand-alone which are more sensitive to movements in interest rates-hardest but have also affected, albeit to a lesser extent, Retail Banking and Mibanco.


It is also important to analyze the NIM by subsidiary. The table below shows the interest margins for each of Credicorp's main subsidiaries.

| NIM Breakdown | BCP <br> Stand-alone${ }^{(1)}$ | Mibanco | BCP <br> Bolivia $^{(1)}$ | Credicorp $^{(1)(2)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Q18 | $4.83 \%$ | $15.92 \%$ | $3.93 \%$ | $5.53 \%$ |
| 2Q19 | $4.86 \%$ | $14.89 \%$ | $3.66 \%$ | $5.48 \%$ |
| 3Q19 | $4.83 \%$ | $15.16 \%$ | $3.72 \%$ | $5.40 \%$ |
| YTD - Sep 18 | $4.56 \%$ | $16.01 \%$ | $3.66 \%$ | $5.31 \%$ |
| YTD - Sep 19 | $4.75 \%$ | $14.85 \%$ | $3.59 \%$ | $5.37 \%$ |

NIM: Annualized Net interest income / Average period end and period beginning interest earning assets.
(1) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report.
(2) Credicorp also includes Credicorp Capital, Prima, Grupo Credito and Eliminations for consolidation purposes.

In the YTD evolution at Credicorp, we see a slight recovery that corresponds to an improvement in NIM at BCP Individual, which represents around $69 \%$ of net interest income. This increase offset the deterioration in NIM at BCP Bolivia and Mibanco. The improvement in the margin is in line with loan growth in higher-margin segments, specifically Retail Banking loans.

Mibanco, which represents around $21 \%$ of net interest income, posted a noteworthy QoQ recovery in NIM, which was attributable to an improvement in asset and liabilities management that allowed to obtain cheaper funding (deposits). Nevertheless, YoY and at the YTD, Mibanco's NIM deteriorated. This was primarily attributable to a drop in LC rates in a highly competitive environment, which negatively affected interest income generation.

[^1]$$
\text { NIM on loans }=\frac{(\text { interest on loans }- \text { total financial expenses } X \text { share of total loans within total earning assets) } X 4}{\text { Average of total loans (begining and closing balances of the period) }}
$$

[^2]
## 5. Non-Financial Income

In 3Q19, non-financial income registered growth QoQ, YoY and Year-to-date (YTD). This increase was due primarily to growth in the Net gain on securities, which posted an increase in its share of non-financial income, which situated at $11.8 \%$ (vs $8.5 \%$ in 2Q19 and $4.6 \%$ in 3Q18). The aforementioned was attributable to an improvement in the evolution of business at BCP Stand-alone with regard to sales and revaluation of its investments. Fee income, the main source of non-financial income, posted an improvement in results, in line with an uptick in transactional activity at BCP Stand-alone.

| Non-financial income S/ 000 | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 | Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| Fee income | 773,529 | 787,250 | 815,403 | 3.6\% | 5.4\% | 2,290,215 | 2,385,575 | 4.2\% |
| Net gain on foreign exchange transactions | 182,777 | 188,358 | 188,073 | -0.2\% | 2.9\% | 525,741 | 554,854 | 5.5\% |
| Net gain on securities ${ }^{(1)}$ | 50,192 | 100,987 | 150,427 | 49.0\% | 199.7\% | 123,436 | 364,959 | 195.7\% |
| Net gain from associates ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | 15,698 | 20,478 | 21,842 | 6.7\% | 39.1\% | 53,410 | 57,106 | 6.9\% |
| Net gain on derivatives held for trading | 674 | (724) | 2,158 | 398.1\% | 220.2\% | 14,959 | $(1,000)$ | -106.7\% |
| Net gain from exchange differences | 8,834 | $(3,603)$ | $(1,964)$ | 45.5\% | -122.2\% | 15,754 | 2,093 | -86.7\% |
| Other non-financial income | 67,174 | 98,703 | 94,647 | -4.1\% | 40.9\% | 234,060 | 268,955 | 14.9\% |
| Total non-financial income, net | 1,098,878 | 1,191,449 | 1,270,586 | 6.6\% | 15.6\% | 3,257,575 | 3,632,542 | 11.5\% |

(1) The gain from other investments in related companies has been included in the item "Net gain from associates"; which previously was presented in the item "Net gain on sales of securities"
(2) Includes gains on other investments, mainly made up of the profit of Banmedica.

| (SI millions ) | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3 Q 1 8}$ | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 | Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| (+) EPS contribution (50\%) | 10,686 | 12,758 | 14,720 | $15.4 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | 37,223 | 36,396 | $-2.2 \%$ |
| $(-)$ Private health insurance deduction (50\%) | $(5,711)$ | $(4,746)$ | $(5,350)$ | $12.7 \%$ | $-6.3 \%$ | $(14,355)$ | $(12,832)$ | $-10.6 \%$ |
| (=) Net gain from association with Banmedica | $\mathbf{4 , 9 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 8 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 5 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 \%}$ |

The QoQ evolution shows expansion of $6.6 \%$ in non-financial income due to:
(i) An increase in the Net gain on securities due to the sale and fluctuation in the mark to market of securities at BCP Stand-alone. The increase registered for the sale of securities was attributable to gains in the Trading portfolio following the sale of Peruvian government bonds, while the fluctuation in securities was due to gains associated with bonds from the governments of Peru, Mexico and Colombia.
(ii) Growth in Fee Income, mainly at BCP Stand-alone, in line with the increase seen in the transactional level every second half of the year and, to a lesser extent, due to growth in fee income from corporate finance and brokerage.

All of the aforementioned was offset by:
(i) A contraction in Other non-financial income due to an increase in gains reported in 2 Q 19 for the sale of real estate at BCP Bolivia.

The YoY analysis reveals a significant increase in non-financial income of $15.6 \%$ due to:
(i) Growth in the Net gain on securities, mainly at BCP Stand-alone. This was primarily attributable to the sale of positions in the fair value through other comprehensive income portfolio (formerly securities available for sale) and, to a lesser extent, to an improvement in the results posted by the international and local fixed income portfolio at ASB. Growth at BCP Stand-alone was due to the fact that in 3 Q 18 , losses were registered in this line following the sale of some investments.
(ii) Growth of $+5.4 \%$ in Fee Income due to an improvement in the evolution of banking commissions at BCP Stand-alone and, to a lesser extent, at BCP Bolivia and Mibanco, as we will explain in section 5.1.2 Fee Income in the Banking Business.
(iii) The improvement in Other non-financial income, generated by Pacifico following the release of general and underwriting expenses and at BCP Stand-alone for the sale of some real estate (branches) and holdings in the underlying collections portfolio in the months of July and August.

All of the aforementioned was partially offset by:
(i) The contraction in the Net gain from exchange differences due to volatility in the exchange rate (USD/PEN), whose variation negatively affected the position of the balance. The volatility of the exchange rate was due primarily to political uncertainty and trade tensions between the USA and China in 3Q19.

In the YTD (Sep 19 vs Sep 18), non-financial income increased due to growth in:
(i) The Net gain on securities, which was primarily attributable to improvements in the results posted by BCP Stand-alone and ASB and, to a lesser extent, by Credicorp Capital and Prima. The aforementioned was attributable to growth in gains in the proprietary investment portfolios at each subsidiary, which was driven by: i) an increase in the mark to market value (MtM) of fair value through profit and loss investments and ii) the sale of some positions of the fair value through other comprehensive income portfolio. It is important to note that the recovery registered in 2019 versus 2018, given that last year, the increase in the international interest rates negatively affected the investment portfolio.
(ii) Fee income, which was mainly attributable to an improvement in business evolution at BCP Standalone and Mibanco, which we will describe in greater detail in section 5.1.2 Fee income in the Banking Business.

All of the aforementioned was offset by:
(i) The contraction in the Net gain on derivatives held for trading at Credicorp Capital after income from the forward business dropped to cover exchange risk exposure on investments in the trading portfolio and for trading swaps.
(ii) The decrease in the Net gain from exchange differences at BCP Stand-alone, as explained in the YoY analysis.

### 5.1. Fee Income

### 5.1.1. By subsidiary

The figure below shows the contribution of each of Credicorp's subsidiaries to Fee Income at Credicorp in 3 Q 19 .
Evolution of fee income QoQ by subsidiary (S/ Million)


[^3]The figure below shows the annual evolution of fee income by subsidiary:
Evolution of fee income YoY by subsidiary (S/ Million)

*Others include Grupo Pacifico and eliminations for consolidation purposes.

### 5.1.2. Fee income in the Banking Business

The chart below shows the evolution of the main components of fee income in the banking business:
Composition of Fee Income in the Banking Business

| Fee Income S/ 000 | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Miscellaneous accounts ${ }^{(1)}$ | 177,960 | 169,732 | 184,826 | 8.9\% | 3.9\% | 533,388 | 532,075 | -0.2\% |
| Credit cards ${ }^{(2)}$ | 73,792 | 71,029 | 71,633 | 0.9\% | -2.9\% | 219,186 | 212,162 | -3.2\% |
| Drafts and transfers | 59,121 | 64,485 | 68,526 | 6.3\% | 15.9\% | 163,223 | 193,243 | 18.4\% |
| Personal loans ${ }^{(2)}$ | 23,119 | 24,922 | 24,989 | 0.3\% | 8.1\% | 69,418 | 73,511 | 5.9\% |
| SME loans ${ }^{(2)}$ | 17,342 | 16,971 | 16,970 | 0.0\% | -2.1\% | 49,562 | 52,505 | 5.9\% |
| Insurance ${ }^{(2)}$ | 21,509 | 23,544 | 26,951 | 14.5\% | 25.3\% | 61,627 | 72,696 | 18.0\% |
| Mortgage loans ${ }^{(2)}$ | 10,083 | 9,738 | 9,213 | -5.4\% | -8.6\% | 29,028 | 28,379 | -2.2\% |
| Off-balance sheet ${ }^{(3)}$ | 51,559 | 51,828 | 53,954 | 4.1\% | 4.6\% | 154,480 | 156,961 | 1.6\% |
| Payments and collections ${ }^{(3)}$ | 104,346 | 104,958 | 108,619 | 3.5\% | 4.1\% | 304,616 | 317,340 | 4.2\% |
| Commercial loans ${ }^{(3)(4)}$ | 20,566 | 20,823 | 21,633 | 3.9\% | 5.2\% | 60,562 | 64,973 | 7.3\% |
| Foreign trade ${ }^{(3)}$ | 11,850 | 9,792 | 9,561 | -2.4\% | -19.3\% | 30,189 | 30,330 | 0.5\% |
| Corporate finance and mutual funds ${ }^{(4)}$ | 13,425 | 21,856 | 15,889 | -27.3\% | 18.4\% | 44,046 | 52,806 | 19.9\% |
| ASB ${ }^{(4)}$ | 7,450 | 11,915 | 11,923 | 0.1\% | 60.0\% | 24,104 | 34,110 | 41.5\% |
| Others ${ }^{(4)(5)}$ | 60,476 | 73,360 | 80,253 | 9.4\% | 32.7\% | 182,347 | 223,415 | 22.5\% |
| Total fee income | 652,597 | 674,954 | 704,940 | 4.4\% | 8.0\% | 1,925,775 | 2,044,507 | 6.2\% |

## Source: BCP

(1) Saving accounts, current accounts, debit card and master account.
(2) Mainly Retail fees.
(3) Mainly Wholesale fees
(4) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report.
(5) Includes fees from BCP Bolivia, Mibanco, network usage and other services to third parties, among others.

In the QoQ analysis, fee income in the banking business increased $+4.4 \%$ QoQ. The components that registered the highest increase this quarter were:
(i) Miscellaneous accounts, mainly due to the increase in fees for debit cards due to charges for consumption to establishments and for exchange rate differences (exchange rate spread). Fees in the savings accounts also rose, driven by higher income for account maintenance and fund transfers between accounts from clients.
(ii) Others, due to an increase in income at BCP Bolivia due to growth in the volume of foreign drafts and transfers and at Mibanco, due to a methodological change in insurance reporting, as explained in 2Q19.
(iii) Drafts and transfers, mainly due to an increase in fees for transfers from savings products; transfers to the interior of the country; interbank transfers; and foreign transfers.

Growth was slightly attenuated by:
(i) Corporate finance and mutual funds, which was attributable to a decrease in income this quarter after income hit an unusual level in 2Q19 (peak since 2Q17) due to the recognition of deferred fee income for loan cancellations in June.

In the YoY analysis, the $+8.0 \%$ growth was attributable to the same factors that drove the increase in income QoQ. The aforementioned offset the drop in income for Foreign Trade, after lower fees were reported due to letters of credit for import and export, and Credit Cards due to a decrease in billing levels.

In the YTD analysis (Sep 19 vs Sep 18), fee income in the banking business increased $+6.2 \%$ due to:
(i) Others, primarily at Mibanco, due to an increase in insurance income, and at BCP Bolivia due to an increase in foreign drafts and transfers and for fees in the contingent portfolio. The aforementioned led Others to increase their share of total fees for banking services to $10.9 \%$ in Sep 19 (vs $9.5 \%$ in Sep 18).
(ii) The increase in Drafts and Transfers due to growth in domestic transfers, foreign transfers and interbank transfers, which was attributable to an increase in transactional activity.
(iii) An increase in the level of Payments and collections due to an increase in commissions for collections services, payments for company services and collections for insurance products for clients.

The aforementioned offset the drop in Credit Cards, which was attributable to the same factors discussed in the YoY analysis, and to Miscellaneous Accounts due to a decrease in income from account maintenance.

## 6. Insurance Underwriting Result

The insurance underwriting result increased $6.0 \% \mathrm{QoQ}$ due to an increase in the net earned premium in life insurance and property and casualty business ( $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{\& C}$ ), which was attenuated by an increase in the net claims and higher acquisition costs in both businesses. The YoY underwriting result increased $3.7 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ YTD, which was attributable to an increase in net earned premiums in P\&C and Life businesses and to a decrease in the acquisition costs for life insurance business. The aforementioned was offset by an increase in net claims for both businesses.

| Insurance underw riting result | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| S/ 000 | $\mathbf{3 Q 1 8}$ | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 | Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| Net earned premiums | 536,277 | 590,066 | 612,534 | $3.8 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $1,556,984$ | $1,789,756$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Net claims | $(318,644)$ | $(379,718)$ | $(392,520)$ | $3.4 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $(914,234)$ | $(1,158,759)$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| Acquisition cost ${ }^{(1)}$ | $(96,382)$ | $(91,666)$ | $(94,239)$ | $2.8 \%$ | $-2.2 \%$ | $(292,486)$ | $(277,186)$ | $-5.2 \%$ |
| Total insurance underwriting result | $\mathbf{1 2 1 , 2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 6 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 , 7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 0 , 2 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 3 , 8 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 \%}$ |

(1) Includes net fees and underwriting expenses.

Total underwriting result by business
(S/ millions)


In the QoQ analysis, the improvement in the underwriting result was attributable mainly to the life insurance business and to a lesser extent, to the P\&C business. In life insurance business, the increase was due to growth in net premiums in Annuities, Credit Life and Group Life, which was attenuated by an increase in net claims in Credit Life and Annuities lines, and to a lesser extent, in Individual Life, and to the increase in acquisition cost due to higher commissions, particularly in Credit Life and Group Life, in line with the increase in net premiums. In the P\&C business, the increase in the result is due to an increase in net earned premiums in the Medical Assistance, Personal Lines and Commercial lines, which was attenuated by an increase in net claims in the Medical Assistance and Personal lines.

In the YoY analysis, the increase in the underwriting result is attributable to the life insurance business; growth was attenuated by the P\&C business. In the life insurance business, the variation was due to an increase in the net earned premium in Disability and Survivorship (D\&S) lines and in Credit Life and to a decrease in the acquisition cost, which was attributable to a drop in commissions in Credit Life. This was attenuated by an increase in net claims in D\&S, Annuities, Credit Life and Individual Life lines. In the P\&C business, the decrease is due to an increase in net claims in the Medical Assistance, Cars and Commercial lines and to growth in the acquisition cost due to higher commissions in Personal Lines, Cars and the Commercial lines. These effects were mitigated by the increase in net earned premium, which was attributable to Medical Assistance, Personal Lines and Cars.

In the YTD analysis, in 2019 the underwriting result reported an increase of $+1.0 \%$, which was primarily attributable to an improvement in the P\&C business due to an increase in the net earned premium for Personal Lines, Medical Assistance and the Car Line. This was attenuated by an increase in net claims in life insurance business, which was mainly driven by the D\&S lines and to a lesser extent, by Annuities and Credit Life.

### 6.1. Net earned premiums



Written premiums decreased $-9.6 \%$ QoQ, which was primarily attributable to $P \& C$ business and, to a lesser extent, to the life insurance business:
(i) P\&C business decreased in (i) Commercial Lines due to aviation and fire risks, given that last quarter, new accounts led to an increase in written premiums, (ii) Cars due to lower production in the brokers and alliance channel, (iii) Medical Assistance due to a decrease in comprehensive insurance policies and (iv) Personal Lines due to drop in renewals this quarter.
(ii) The Life insurance business also posted a decrease, albeit smaller than that registered in P \& C . The decrease in life was attributable to a drop in sales in Annuities, which was primarily seen in the particular individual annuity line, and to D\&S, which reported higher premiums in the second quarter. This effect was mitigated by higher production in Credit Life and Individual Life through the bancassurance channel.

Net earned premiums increased $+3.8 \%$ QoQ. This growth was driven by both the life insurance and P\&C businesses. Life insurance registered growth in (i) Annuities, due to a decrease in underwriting reserves in line with the evolution of total premiums described above (ii) an increase in sales of Credit Life through the bancassurance channel and (iii) Group Life due to SCTR and Collective Life, which was attributable to policy renewals at mining companies. The P\&C business registered an increase, which was primarily due to growth in the Medical Assistance and Personal Lines due to drop in provisions for unexpired risks, in line with a decrease in premiums that are billed annually.

In the YoY analysis, Total premiums decreased -1.1\% primarily through the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{C}$ business, mitigated by life insurance business. In the P\&C business, the reduction is attributable to a decrease in the Commercial Lines, specifically in the fire segment (annual renewal with Petroperu and Unacem in 3Q18), and to Cars, where the decrease was mainly attributable to a drop in production. In life insurance business, the increase was attributable to higher premiums for the D\&S lines, which was due to the fact that in January 2019, the company won two tranches of the tender process for SISCO IV contract ${ }^{4}$ (2019-2020) , which compares favorably with the single tranche under SISCO III (2017-2018); this was attenuated by a decrease in Annuities line.

Net earned premiums increased $+14.2 \%$ YoY in the life insurance business, which was mainly attributable to: i) the D\&S lines, due to an increase in premiums associated with SISCO IV and ii) Credit Life, due to an increase in sales in the bancassurance channel. In the P\&C business, an increase in production was attributable to the Medical Assistance and Personal Lines.

In the YTD analysis, in 2019, net premiums increased $+15.0 \%$ compared to 2018. This was primarily attributable to the life insurance business, where growth was driven by the D\&S Lines (through the tranches won in the SISCO tender) and by Individual Life. In the P\&C business, growth was attributable to Personal Lines, Medical Assistance and the Cars line.

[^4]
### 6.2. Net claims

Net claims by business
(S/ millions)


Net claims increased $+3.4 \%$ QoQ in the Life and P\&C business. In life insurance, the increase was attributable to (i) Credit Life, due to an increase in reported cases through the alliance channel, (ii) Annuities, due to higher pension payments through individual annuity and (iii) Individual life, after more incurred but not reported reserves were set aside. In P\&C, the increase was attributable to Medical Assistance after reserves were increased for comprehensive products and Personal Lines.

In the YoY analysis, net claims increased $+23.2 \%$. This was driven by both the Life and P\&C businesses. The increase reported in life insurance was attributable to (i) D\&S, after Pacifico won two tranches of the SISCO IV versus one tranche under SISCO III; (ii) Annuities, due to pension payments through the "Rental Flex "product and (iii) Credit Life, through the bancassurance and alliance channel. In P\&C business growth was attributable to: i) the Medical Assistance line, given that reserves were released in 3Q18, ii) the Cars Line, which registered an increase in claims frequency for the SOAT product and iii) the Commercial Lines, due to claims readjustments in favor in 3Q18.

In the YTD analysis, net claims increased $+26.7 \%$ due to growth in claims in the life insurance business through the D\&S line, via SISCO IV; Annuities ("Renta Flex" and individual annuities products); and Credit Life, via the bancassurance channel. In the P\&C business, the increase in the net claims was attributable to the Medical Assistance, Cars and Personal Lines.

### 6.3. Acquisition cost

Acquisition cost by Business
( $\mathrm{S} /$ millions)


| Acquisition cost | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S/ $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 Q 1 8}$ | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 | Sep 19/Sep 18 |  |
| Net fees | $(69,813)$ | $(58,717)$ | $(65,715)$ | $11.9 \%$ | $-5.9 \%$ | $(205,518)$ | $(184,445)$ | $-10.3 \%$ |  |
| Underw riting expenses | $(27,777)$ | $(49,237)$ | $(30,039)$ | $-39.0 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $(91,322)$ | $(113,851)$ | $24.7 \%$ |  |
| Underw riting income | 1,208 | 16,288 | 1,514 | $-90.7 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | 4,354 | 21,111 | $384.8 \%$ |  |
| Acquisition cost | $\mathbf{( 9 6 , 3 8 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 1 , 6 6 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 4 , 2 3 9 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 9 2 , 4 8 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 7 7 , 1 8 6 )}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 . 2 \%}$ |  |

The acquisition cost rose $+2.8 \%$ QoQ. This was primarily due an increase in the acquisition cost for the Life insurance business and, to a lesser extent, to an increase in this line in the P\&C business. Growth in the acquisition cost reported by the life insurance business was attributable to an increase in commissions reported for Credit Life, in line with an increase in production, and to Group life (specifically Collective Life and SCTR products) as well as Individual Life. This was attenuated by a release of provisions for uncollectible premiums in Group Life. In the P\&C business, the increase in the acquisition cost was attributable to a decrease in the commissions received from reinsurers in the Commercial Lines.

In the YoY analysis, the acquisition cost decreased $-2.2 \%$. This was mainly attributable to the life insurance business and attenuated by P\&C business. In the life business, the decrease in commissions was driven by a drop in production in Credit life through the alliances channel. In the P\&C business, growth in the acquisition cost was due to the increase in commissions in Personal Lines, in line with higher production, and in the Cars and Commercial Lines, due to a decrease in commissions ceded.

In the YTD analysis, the acquisition cost decreased $-5.2 \%$. This was driven by a decrease in commissions in the Life insurance business, which was associated with the Credit Life line. The aforementioned was attenuated by an increase in the net underwriting expense in the P\&C business, through Personal Lines, Cars and Commercial Lines, and in the life business, through the Individual Life line.

## 7. Operating Expenses and Efficiency

In the YoY and YTD analysis, the 40 bps improvement in the efficiency ratio was attributable to the fact that operating income grew at a faster pace than operating expenses. The increase in operating income was due primarily to growth in net interest income, which offset the increase in salaries and employee benefits.

| Operating expenses SI 000 | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change <br> Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Salaries and employees benefits | 794,634 | 845,835 | 845,345 | -0.1\% | 6.4\% | 2,352,806 | 2,525,497 | 7.3\% |
| Administrative, general and tax expenses | 590,491 | 531,336 | 560,780 | 5.5\% | -5.0\% | 1,647,927 | 1,605,257 | -2.6\% |
| Depreciation and amortization ${ }^{(1)}$ | 105,517 | 180,381 | 185,574 | 2.9\% | 75.9\% | 318,710 | 506,652 | 59.0\% |
| Association in participation | 5,711 | 4,746 | 5,348 | 12.7\% | -6.4\% | 14,355 | 12,830 | -10.6\% |
| Acquisition cost ${ }^{(2)}$ | 96,382 | 91,666 | 94,239 | 2.8\% | -2.2\% | 292,486 | 277,186 | -5.2\% |
| Operating expenses ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1,592,735 | 1,653,964 | 1,691,286 | 2.3\% | 6.2\% | 4,626,284 | 4,927,422 | 6.5\% |
| Operating income ${ }^{(4)}$ | 3,656,922 | 3,838,582 | 3,915,430 | 2.0\% | 7.1\% | 10,701,915 | 11,511,443 | 7.6\% |
| Efficiency ratio ${ }^{(5)}$ | 43.6\% | 43.1\% | 43.2\% | 10 bps | -40 bps | 43.2\% | 42.8\% | -40 bps |
| Operating expenses / Total average assets ${ }^{(6)}$ | 3.80\% | 3.68\% | 3.68\% | 0 bps | -12 bps | 3.64\% | 3.61\% | -3 bps |

(1) Since the $1 Q 19$, the application of IFRS 16 is in effect, which corresponds to a greater depreciation for the asset for right-of-use". Likewise, the expenses related to the depreciation of improvements in building for rent is being reclassified to the item "Other expenses".
(2) The acquisition cost of Pacifico includes net fees and underwriting expenses.
(3) Operating expenses $=$ Salaries and employee's benefits + Administrative, general and tax expenses + Depreciation and amortization + Acquisition cost + Association in participation.
(4) Operating income $=$ Net interest income + Fee income + Net gain on foreign exchange transactions + Net gain from associates + Net gain on derivatives held for trading + Result on exchange differences + Net premiums earned.
(5) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report. Operating expenses / Operating income.
(6) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report. Operating expenses / Average of Total Assets. Average is calculated with periodbeginning and period-ending balances.

In the QoQ analysis, the deterioration in the efficiency ratio was due to seasonal effects on operating expenses; as such, the YoY analysis provides a clearer picture of the evolution of efficiency.

In the YoY analysis, a 40 bps increase is evident in the efficiency ratio. This took place in a context in which growth in operating income outpaced the expansion posted by operating expenses.

The figure below shows the impact generated by each item of income and operating expenses on the efficiency ratio:

(1) Other operating income includes: Net gain on foreign exchange transactions, Net gain from associates, Net gain on derivatives held for trading and Net gain from exchange difference.
(2) Other operating expenses includes: Acquisition cost and Association in participation

The analysis of the impact of operating income shows that the improvement in the efficiency ratio YoY was due to the following:
(i) Growth in net interest income, in line with the expansion of average daily loans balances in the vast majority of segments, as indicated in section 4.1. Interest income.
(ii) The increase in net earned premiums at Pacifico, which was generated by both the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{C}$ line and life insurance, as we will explain in the section 6.1 Net earned premiums.
(iii) The increase in fee income at BCP Stand-alone and, to a lesser extent, at BCP Bolivia and Mibanco, as indicated in in section 5.1.2 Income from commissions in the Banking Business.

The YoY increase in operating expenses reflects:
(i) An increase in salaries and employee benefits, mainly at Mibanco, and to a lesser extent at BCP Standalone and Credicorp Capital. In the case of Mibanco, the increase was due to growth in the sales force in 1Q19, in line with the strategy to build capacities to ensure future growth at Mibanco. At BCP, the increase was driven primarily by growth in fixed remuneration after new personnel with specialized knowledge were hired in 1 H 19 , and although, to a lesser extent, to expansion in variable remuneration after productivity incentives were increased in Retail Banking. The increase in salaries and employee benefits at Credicorp Capital was attributable to an increase in the headcount, mainly in Peru and Chile.
(ii) The increase in the depreciation and amortization line. It is important to note that this line should be analyzed alongside administrative and general expenses given that under IFRS 16, leasing expenses, which were previously included in administrative and general expenses, are now reported in the depreciation line.

YTD, the efficiency ratio also improved 40 bps. This improvement was due to the same factors as those indicated in the YoY analysis. The figure below shows the impact of the variation that each account had on Credicorp's efficiency ratio.
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### 7.1. Credicorp's Administrative, General and Tax Expenses

## Credicorp's administrative, general and tax expenses

| Administrative, general and tax expenses ${ }^{(1)}$ S/ 000 | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change <br> Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | \% | 2Q19 | \% | 3Q19 | \% | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Marketing | 80,618 | 14\% | 87,714 | 17\% | 86,768 | 15\% | -1.1\% | 7.6\% | 225,369 | 252,982 | 12.3\% |
| Taxes and contributions | 60,213 | 10\% | 64,182 | 12\% | 65,981 | 12\% | 2.8\% | 9.6\% | 175,755 | 196,872 | 12.0\% |
| Insfrastructure | 72,622 | 12\% | 76,788 | 14\% | 76,718 | 14\% | -0.1\% | 5.6\% | 210,415 | 221,450 | 5.2\% |
| Systems outsourcing | 58,283 | 10\% | 44,410 | 8\% | 47,933 | 9\% | 7.9\% | -17.8\% | 165,889 | 124,216 | -25.1\% |
| Programs and systems | 67,685 | 11\% | 69,538 | 13\% | 69,462 | 12\% | -0.1\% | 2.6\% | 188,789 | 202,849 | 7.4\% |
| Communications | 20,845 | 4\% | 21,223 | 4\% | 18,937 | 3\% | -10.8\% | -9.2\% | 63,367 | 62,874 | -0.8\% |
| Rent | 47,446 | 8\% | 21,028 | 4\% | 12,037 | 2\% | -42.8\% | -74.6\% | 144,118 | 63,528 | -55.9\% |
| Consulting | 53,478 | 9\% | 30,864 | 6\% | 61,082 | 11\% | 97.9\% | 14.2\% | 132,253 | 125,720 | -4.9\% |
| Channels | 58,127 | 10\% | 61,144 | 12\% | 62,333 | 11\% | 1.9\% | 7.2\% | 160,893 | 176,244 | 9.5\% |
| Gastos Legales | 9,873 | 2\% | 10,513 | 2\% | 8,793 | 2\% | -16.4\% | -10.9\% | 28,181 | 25,979 | -7.8\% |
| Gastos de Representación | 3,303 | 1\% | 5,068 | 1\% | 5,300 | 1\% | 4.6\% | 60.5\% | 12,411 | 15,482 | 24.7\% |
| Seguros | 13,305 | 2\% | 13,767 | 3\% | 16,417 | 3\% | 19.2\% | 23.4\% | 31,176 | 41,739 | 33.9\% |
| Others ${ }^{(2)}$ | 44,691 | 8\% | 25,097 | 5\% | 29,018 | 5\% | 15.6\% | -35.1\% | 109,310 | 95,321 | -12.8\% |
| Total administrative and general expenses | 590,491 | 100\% | 531,336 | 100\% | 560,780 | 100\% | 5.5\% | -5.0\% | 1,647,927 | 1,605,257 | -2.6\% |

(1) Administrative, general and tax expenses differ from previously reported because BCP Bolivia has been included.
(2) Others include ASB, BCP Bolivia, Grupo Credito and eliminations for consolidation.

The QoQ increase in administrative and general expenses was mainly due to a seasonal effect. This effect is reflected in the Consultants line and is mainly attributable to transformation projects and more specifically, to digital issues at BCP Stand-alone.

The YoY analysis, which excludes the seasonal effect on operating expenses, shows a drop in expenses, mainly due to a decrease in expenses for systems Outsourcing and Leasing. This was attributable to the application of IRFS 16, which indicates that financial leasing expenses will not be included in administrative and general expenses and taxes. Now, a portion of systems outsourcing and leasing expenses (approximately $90 \%$ ) are included in depreciation and amortization and the rest in financial expenses.

The aforementioned offset the increase in:
(i) Expenses for Consultants for the factors discussed in the QoQ analysis and to a lesser extent, the increase in Credicorp Capital due a project that aims to optimize the way in which support teams operate between countries.
(ii) An increase in Marketing, mainly at BCP Stand-alone due to an increase in expenses for the Latam Miles Program and for different advertising campaigns for Yape and the celebration of BCP's 130 year anniversary and;
(iii) Higher expenses in our channels due to an increase in fee income, in line with growth in the volume of transfers at the national level and abroad, as indicated in chapter 5.1.2. Fee Income in the Banking Business.

In the YTD operating efficiency ratio there is a slight reduction in expenses, which is mainly attributable to the effect of IFRS 16, as indicated in the YoY analysis. The aforementioned offset the increase in Marketing and Channels, which was attributable to the same factors indicated in the YoY analysis.

### 7.2. Efficiency Ratio

Efficiency Ratio by Subsidiary ${ }^{(1)(2)}$

|  | BCP <br> Stand-alone | BCP Bolivia | Mibanco | Pacifico | Prima AFP | Credicorp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Q18 | 42.4\% | 60.2\% | 45.4\% | 30.9\% | 45.3\% | 43.6\% |
| 2Q19 | 40.1\% | 61.7\% | 52.3\% | 27.7\% | 40.6\% | 43.1\% |
| 3Q19 | 40.8\% | 56.8\% | 50.7\% | 27.7\% | 44.0\% | 43.2\% |
| Var. QoQ | 70 bps | -490 bps | -160 bps | 0 bps | 340 bps | 10 bps |
| Var. YoY | -160 bps | -340 bps | 530 bps | -320 bps | -130 bps | -40 bps |
| YTD - Sep 18 | 40.7\% | 62.6\% | 47.5\% | 31.8\% | 46.0\% | 43.2\% |
| YTD - Sep 19 | 39.6\% | 60.0\% | 52.5\% | 27.8\% | 42.0\% | 42.8\% |
| \% change Sep $19 /$ Sep 18 | -110 bps | -260 bps | 500 bps | -400 bps | -400 bps | -40 bps |

(1) (Salaries and employee's benefits + Administrative, general and tax expenses + Depreciation and amortization + Acquisition cost + Association in participation) / (Net interest income + Fee income + Net gain on foreign exchange transactions + Net gain from associates + Net gain on derivatives held for trading + Result on exchange differences + Net premiums earned).
(2) The efficiency ratio differs from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report.

In the QoQ analysis, the deterioration in efficiency was attributable to seasonal effects on operating expenses; as such, the YoY analysis provides a clearer picture of the business result.

The figure below shows the contribution of each subsidiary to the evolution of the efficiency ratio YoY.
YoY evolution of the efficiency ratio by subsidiary

(1) Other operating income includes: Net gain on foreign exchange transactions, Net gain from associates, Net gain on derivatives held for trading and Net gain from exchange difference.
(2) Other operating expenses includes: Acquisition cost and Association in participation

The improvement in efficiency at Credicorp YoY was due to:
(i) Improvement at BCP Stand-alone, which was mainly attributable to an increase in interest income on loans, which was driven by loan growth YoY. The aforementioned offset the increase in operating expenses and;
(ii) Grupo Pacifico, which registered an improvement that was primarily attributable to an increase in operating income. This growth was mainly the result of expansion in net earned premiums (primarily in the Life business) and, to a lesser extent, to a reduction in the acquisition cost of this business line. It is important to note that growth in net earned premiums was attenuated by an increase in net claims, which are not included in operating expenses.

The aforementioned offset the deterioration in the efficiency ratio of (i) Mibanco, which was associated with growth in the sales force to increase long-term capacities and to the reduction in net interest income due to an uptick in
competition and (ii) Credicorp Capital, due to an increase in expenses for salaries and employee benefits after the headcount was increased.

YTD, the efficiency ratio by subsidiary posted results similar to that seen YoY. The figure below shows the impact of the variation of each subsidiary on the efficiency ratio at Credicorp:

YTD evolution of the efficiency ratio by subsidiary

(1) Other operating income includes: Net gain on foreign exchange transactions, Net gain from associates, Net gain on derivatives held for trading and Net gain from exchange difference.
(2) Other operating expenses includes: Acquisition cost and Association in participation

## 8. Regulatory Capital

### 8.1. Regulatory Capital - BAP

| Regulatory Capital and Capital Adequacy Ratios S/ 000 | As of |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Capital Stock | 1,318,993 | 1,318,993 | 1,318,993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Treasury Stocks | $(207,994)$ | $(207,839)$ | $(207,839)$ | 0.0\% | -0.1\% |
| Capital Surplus | 249,398 | 227,380 | 222,605 | -2.1\% | -10.7\% |
| Legal and Other capital reserves ${ }^{(1)}$ | 17,576,109 | 19,423,324 | 19,416,912 | 0.0\% | 10.5\% |
| Minority interest ${ }^{(2)}$ | 311,469 | 357,067 | 357,157 | 0.0\% | 14.7\% |
| Loan loss reserves ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1,476,168 | 1,608,215 | 1,633,135 | 1.5\% | 10.6\% |
| Perpetual subordinated debt | 660,000 | 575,225 | 592,025 | 2.9\% | -10.3\% |
| Subordinated Debt | 4,680,739 | 4,735,573 | 4,778,365 | 0.9\% | 2.1\% |
| Investments in equity and subordinated debt of financial and insurance companies | $(604,352)$ | $(777,011)$ | $(775,048)$ | -0.3\% | 28.2\% |
| Goodw ill | $(635,968)$ | $(601,333)$ | $(621,686)$ | 3.4\% | -2.2\% |
| Deduction for subordinated debt limit (50\% of Tier I excluding deductions) ${ }^{(4)}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Deduction for Tier I Limit (50\% of Regulatory capital) ${ }^{(4)}$ | - | - | - |  | - |
| Total Regulatory Capital (A) | 24,824,563 | 26,659,594 | 26,714,619 | 0.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Tier $1^{(5)}$ | 13,464,685 | 14,746,304 | 14,739,047 | 0.0\% | 9.5\% |
| Tier $2^{(6)}+$ Tier $3^{(7)}$ | 11,359,877 | 11,913,290 | 11,975,572 | 0.5\% | 5.4\% |
| Financial Consolidated Group (FCG) Regulatory Capital Requirements ${ }^{(8)}$ | 19,110,797 | 19,877,961 | 20,170,802 | 1.5\% | 5.5\% |
| Insurance Consolidated Group (ICG) Capital Requirements ${ }^{(9)}$ | 983,100 | 1,090,628 | 1,139,617 | 4.5\% | 15.9\% |
| FCG Capital Requirements related to operations w ith ICG | $(225,561)$ | $(235,272)$ | $(236,036)$ | 0.3\% | 4.6\% |
| ICG Capital Requirements related to operations with FCG | - |  | - | - | - |
| Total Regulatory Capital Requirements (B) | 19,868,336 | 20,733,318 | 21,074,383 | 1.6\% | 6.1\% |
| Regulatory Capital Ratio (A) / (B) | 1.25 | 1.29 | 1.27 |  |  |
| Required Regulatory Capital Ratio ${ }^{(10)}$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |

(1) Legal and other capital reserves include restricted capital reserves ( $\mathrm{S} / 13,465$ million) and optional capital reserves ( $\mathrm{S} / 5,952$ million).
(2) Minority interest includes Tier I (S/ 357 million)
(3) Up to $1.25 \%$ of total risk-weighted assets of Banco de Credito del Peru, Solucioo Empresa Administradora Hipotecaria, Mibanco and Atlantic Security Bank.
(4) Tier II + Tier III cannot be more than $50 \%$ of total regulatory capital.
(5) Tier I = capital + restricted capital reserves + Tier I minority interest - goodwill - ( 0.5 x investment in equity and subordinated debt of financial and insurance companies) + perpetual subordinated debt.
(6) Tier II = subordinated debt + Tierll minority interest tier + loan loss reserves - ( 0.5 x investment in equity and subordinated debt of financial and insurance companies).
(7) Tier III = Subordinated debt covering market risk only
(8) Includes regulatory capital requirements of the financial consolidated group.
(9) Includes regulatory capital requirements of the insurance consolidated group.
(10) Regulatory Capital / Total Regulatory Capital Requirements (legal minimum $=1.00$ ).

Credicorp's total regulatory capital remained stable QoQ but increased 7.6\% YoY due to growth in legal and other capital reserves, as announced in 1 Q 19 . The total regulatory capital requirement increased $1.6 \% \mathrm{QoQ}$ and $6.1 \%$ YoY.

Credicorp's Regulatory Capital Ratio continued to be situated at a comfortable level at the end of 3Q19 and represented 1.27 times the capital required by the Peruvian regulator at the end of 3Q19.

### 8.2. Regulatory Capital - BCP Stand-alone based on Peru GAAP

| Regulatory Capital and Capital Adequacy Ratios S/ 000 | As of |  |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2 Q 19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Capital Stock | 8,770,365 | 10,217,387 | 10,217,387 | 0.0\% | 16.5\% |
| Legal and Other capital reserves | 4,184,309 | 4,695,118 | 4,695,118 | 0.0\% | 12.2\% |
| Accumulated earnings w ith capitalization agreement | - | - | 850,000 |  |  |
| Loan loss reserves ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,190,912 | 1,298,104 | 1,320,345 | 1.7\% | 10.9\% |
| Perpetual subordinated debt | 660,000 | 575,225 | 592,025 | 2.9\% | -10.3\% |
| Subordinated Debt | 4,133,057 | 4,197,063 | 4,319,204 | 2.9\% | 4.5\% |
| Unrealized profit (loss) | - | - | - |  |  |
| Investment in subsidiaries and others, net of unrealized profit and net income | $(1,323,808)$ | $(1,722,979)$ | $(1,722,979)$ | 0.0\% | 30.2\% |
| Investment in subsidiaries and others | $(1,845,441)$ | $(1,903,858)$ | $(2,006,574)$ | 5.4\% | 8.7\% |
| Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries | 521,633 | 180,879 | 283,595 | 56.8\% | -45.6\% |
| Goodw ill | $(122,083)$ | $(122,083)$ | $(122,083)$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Regulatory Capital | 17,492,752 | 19,137,835 | 20,149,017 | 5.3\% | 15.2\% |
| Off-balance sheet | 83,769,728 | 84,178,682 | 88,421,907 | 5.0\% | 5.6\% |
| Tier $1^{(2)}$ | 12,830,686 | 14,504,157 | 15,370,957 | 6.0\% | 19.8\% |
| Tier $2^{(3)}+$ Tier $3^{(4)}$ | 4,662,065 | 4,633,677 | 4,778,060 | 3.1\% | 2.5\% |
| Common Equity Tier 1 | 13,487,881 | 14,682,446 | 15,048,138 | 2.5\% | 11.6\% |
| Total risk-weighted assets - SBS | 117,083,174 | 128,023,739 | 130,413,864 | 1.9\% | 11.4\% |
| Market risk-w eighted assets ${ }^{(5)}$ | 1,256,762 | 2,701,436 | 2,143,263 | -20.7\% | 70.5\% |
| Credit risk-w eighted assets | 106,878,174 | 115,994,876 | 118,791,053 | 2.4\% | 11.1\% |
| Operational risk-w eighted assets | 8,948,239 | 9,327,427 | 9,479,548 | 1.6\% | 5.9\% |
| Adjusted Risk-Weighted Assets - Basel | 116,212,161 | 124,260,803 | 125,960,200 | 1.4\% | 8.4\% |
| Total risk-w eighted assets | 117,083,174 | 128,023,739 | 130,413,864 | 1.9\% | 11.4\% |
| (-) RWA Intangible assets, excluding goodw ill. | 1,426,100 | 4,168,753 | 4,846,465 | 16.3\% | 239.8\% |
| (+) RWA Deferred tax assets generated as a result of temporary differences in income tax, in excess of $10 \%$ of CET1 | 555,087 | 405,816 | 392,802 | -3.2\% | - |
| (+) RWA Deferred tax assets generated as a result of past losses | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total capital requirement | 15,012,149 | 16,265,477 | 16,547,822 | 1.7\% | 10.2\% |
| Market risk capital requirement ${ }^{(5)}$ | 125,676 | 270,144 | 214,326 | -20.7\% | 70.5\% |
| Credit risk capital requirement | 10,687,817 | 11,599,488 | 11,879,105 | 2.4\% | 11.1\% |
| Operational risk capital requirement | 894,824 | 932,743 | 947,955 | 1.6\% | 5.9\% |
| Additional capital requirements | 3,303,831 | 3,463,103 | 3,506,435 | 1.3\% | 6.1\% |

Capital ratios

| Tier 1 ratio $^{(6)}$ | $10.96 \%$ | $11.33 \%$ | $11.79 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common Equity Tier 1 ratio $^{(7)}$ | $11.61 \%$ | $11.82 \%$ | $11.95 \%$ |
| BIS ratio $^{(8)}$ | $14.94 \%$ | $14.95 \%$ | $15.45 \%$ |
| Risk-w eighted assets / Regulatory capital ${ }^{(9)}$ | 6.69 | 6.69 | 6.47 |

(1) Up to $1.25 \%$ of total risk-weighted assets.
(2) Tier 1 = Capital + Legal and other capital reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + ( $0.5 \times$ Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries) - Goodwill - ( $0.5 \times$ Investment in subsidiaries) + Perpetual subordinated debt (maximum amount that can be included is $17.65 \%$ of Capital + Reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries - Goodwill).
(3) Tier $2=$ Subordinated debt + Loan loss reserves $+(0.5 \times$ Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries) $-(0.5 \times$ Investment in subsidiaries $)$.
(4) Tier 3 = Subordinated debt covering market risk only. Tier 3 exists since 1Q10.
(5) It includes capital requirement to cover price and rate risk.
(6) Tier 1 / Total Risk-weighted assets
(7) Common Equity Tier I = Capital + Reserves - $100 \%$ of applicable deductions (investment in subsidiaries, goodwill, intangibles and net deferred taxes that rely on future profitability) + retained earnings + unrealized gains.
Adjusted Risk-Weighted Assets = Risk-weighted assets - (RWA Intangible assets, excluding goodwill, + RWA Deferred tax assets generated as a result of temporary differences in income tax, in excess of 10\% of CET1, + RWA Deferred tax assets generated as a result of past losses)."
(8) Regulatory Capital / Risk-weighted assets (legal minimum $=10 \%$ since July 2011)
(9) Since July 2012, Risk-weighted assets = Credit risk-weighted assets * $1.00+$ Capital requirement to cover market risk * 10 + Capital requirement to cover operational risk * 10 * 1.00 (since July 2014).

At the end of 3Q19, the BIS and Tier 1 Ratios at BCP Stand-alone increased QoQ to situate at 15.45\% and 11.79\% respectively. This was due to a $+5.3 \%$ increase in total regulatory capital in a context in which total RWAs grew 1.9\%.

Total regulatory capital fell QoQ due to an increase in subordinated debt corresponding to issuances made in the third quarter of the year. Total RWAs increased due to growth in Credit RWAs, which was primarily attributable to the effect of a $2.6 \%$ expansion QoQ in quarter-end loan balances.

In the YoY evolution, the BIS and Tier1 ratios registered an increase with regard to the levels posted in 3Q18. This result was generated in a context in which total regulatory capital and Tier 1 outpaced the expansion reported for Credit RWAs.

In the YoY evolution of total RWAs, Credit RWAs posted the highest growth, followed by growth in Market and Operational RWAs. The increase in Credit RWAs is attributable to a YoY expansion of $7.0 \%$ in quarter-end balances and to the incorporation of unutilized lines of credit in the calculation of RWAs as of 2019 (according to requirements set forth by the Peruvian regulating entity).

Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio - BCP Stand-alone

June 2019


September 2019

(1) Includes investments in BCP Bolivia and other subsidiaries.

Finally, the Tier 1 Common Equity Ratio (CET1), which is considered the most rigorous ratio with which to measure capitalization levels, reported growth of +13 bps QoQ to situate at $11.95 \%$ at the end of 3 Q 19 . This result was primarily due to a $+2.5 \%$ increase in the CET1, in line with the quarter's YTD results. In the YoY analysis, the CET1 ratio increased 34 bps in a context in which adjusted RWAs increase $8.4 \%$ and CET1, $+11.6 \%$.

## 9. Distribution channels

The distribution channels at BCP Stand-alone, Mibanco and BCP Bolivia registered a total of 10,842 points of contact at the end of 3Q19. This level represents an increase of 170 points QoQ and an expansion of 496 YoY. Growth was due primarily to the expansion in Agentes BCP at BCP Stand-alone and, to a lesser extent, to growth at BCP Bolivia.

### 9.1. Universal Banking

### 9.1.1. Points of Contact - BCP Stand-alone

|  | As of |  |  | change (units) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Branches | 430 | 403 | 404 | 1 | -26 |
| ATMs | 2,294 | 2,261 | 2,266 | 5 | -28 |
| Agentes BCP | 6,646 | 6,940 | 7,051 | 111 | 405 |
| Total BCP's Network | $\mathbf{9 , 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 1}$ |

In QoQ terms BCP Stand-alone registered an increase of 117 in its total points of contacts, which situated at 9,279 at the end of 3Q19. Growth in points of contact was primarily due to an increase in the number of Agentes BCP, which expanded 111 points QoQ. This increase was attributable to the commercial strategy to increase the number of points of contact through cost-efficient channels. In line with this strategy, ATMs and Branches slightly grew +5 and +1 QoQ, respectively. Relative to ATM's, grow was attributable to increase in new neutral points. Besides, the new branch was opened in Moquegua to cover customer demand and achieve more growth in this province.

In the YoY analysis, the points of contact at BCP Stand-alone increased 351 units, which was mainly due to growth in the number of Agentes BCP (+405 YoY). ATMs registered a reduction of 28 units, which was driven by a contraction in branches (down by 26). The aforementioned was attributable to the Transformation Strategy at BCP Stand-alone, where the goal is to ensure that $40 \%$ of our clients use digital and cost-efficient channels. BCP Standalone's target is to register a total of 7,155 Agentes BCP at the end of 2019.

### 9.1.2. Points of contact by geographic area - BCP Stand-alone

|  | As of |  |  | change (units) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Lima | 271 | 255 | 255 | 0 | -16 |
| Provinces | 159 | 148 | 149 | 1 | -10 |
| Total Branches | $\mathbf{4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 4}$ | 1 | -26 |
| Lima | 1,513 | 1,507 | 1,514 | 7 | 1 |
| Provinces | 781 | 754 | 752 | -2 | -29 |
| Total ATM's | $\mathbf{2 , 2 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 6 6}$ | 5 | -28 |
| Lima | 3,469 | 3,475 | 3,503 | 28 | 34 |
| Provinces | 3,177 | 3,465 | 3,548 | 83 | 371 |
| Total Agentes BCP | $\mathbf{6 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 0 5 1}$ | 111 | 405 |
| Total points of contact | $\mathbf{9 , 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 1}$ |

This quarter, the number of points of contact increased by 117. It is important to note that the most significant contribution to this growth was generated by new Agentes BCP in the provinces ( +83 QoQ ) and, to a lesser extent, in Lima (+28 QoQ). This is part of our focus to facilitate access to financial services for a growing number of clients at the provincial level.

Growth YoY (+351) in total points of contact was due primarily to an increase of 405 Agentes BCP, of which 34 were in Lima and 371 in the provinces. As mentioned, the expansion reported in this channel reflects the Transformation strategy at BCP Stand-alone, whose objective is to migrate clients to cost-efficient channels. This is aligned with a two-pronged goal that focuses on being closer to our current clients and providing the unserved
population access to financial services. In this context, $92 \%$ of total growth was seen in Agentes at the provincial level.

This growth in Agentes offset the drop in the number of branches. This contraction was seen both in Lima (-16) and in the provinces (-10). The number of ATMs grew in Lima (1) but fell in the provinces (-29), where ATMs were removed from branches.

### 9.1.3. Transactions per channel - BCP Stand-alone

Transactions per channel - BCP Stand-alone

|  | $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ of Transactions per channel ${ }^{(1)}$ | Monthly average in each quarter |  |  |  |  |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3Q18 | \% | 2Q19 | \% | 3Q19 | \% | QoQ | YoY |
| Traditional channels | Teller | 8,102,687 | 5.3\% | 7,742,591 | 4.0\% | 7,711,756 | 3.6\% | -0.4\% | -4.8\% |
|  | Telephone banking | 4,896,746 | 3.2\% | 4,689,830 | 2.4\% | 4,803,032 | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | -1.9\% |
| Cost-efficient channels | Agentes BCP | 23,391,555 | 15.4\% | 27,012,231 | 14.1\% | 29,492,554 | 13.9\% | 9.2\% | 26.1\% |
|  | ATMs | 18,838,132 | 12.4\% | 24,685,223 | 12.9\% | 24,953,751 | 11.8\% | 1.1\% | 32.5\% |
| Digital channels | Mobile banking | 48,996,671 | 32.2\% | 81,062,483 | 42.2\% | 95,336,208 | 45.1\% | 17.6\% | 94.6\% |
|  | Internet banking Via BCP | 19,605,889 | 12.9\% | 16,150,532 | 8.4\% | 16,371,635 | 7.7\% | 1.4\% | -16.5\% |
|  | Balance inquiries | 1,569,552 | 1.0\% | 1,226,680 | 0.6\% | 1,342,920 | 0.6\% | 9.5\% | -14.4\% |
| Others | Telecrédito | 11,343,966 | 7.5\% | 11,785,008 | 6.1\% | 12,536,785 | 5.9\% | 6.4\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Direct debit | 664,662 | 0.4\% | 718,412 | 0.4\% | 837,254 | 0.4\% | 16.5\% | 26.0\% |
|  | Points of sale P.O.S. | 14,333,713 | 9.4\% | 16,788,165 | 8.7\% | 17,958,329 | 8.5\% | 7.0\% | 25.3\% |
|  | Other ATMs netw ork | 215,688 | 0.1\% | 223,279 | 0.1\% | 258,168 | 0.1\% | 15.6\% | 19.7\% |
|  | Total transactions | 151,959,261 | 100.0\% | 192,084,435 | 100.0\% | 211,602,391 | 100.0\% | 10.2\% | 39.2\% |

(1) Figures include monetary and non-monetary transactions.

In line with the increase in points of contact, the monthly average of transactions increased $+10.2 \%$ QoQ and $+39.2 \%$ YoY. In the QoQ analysis, the increase in transactions was seen across channels with the exception of Tellers, which fell $0.4 \%$. It is important to note that in 3Q19, the largest increase in volume was attributable to Mobile Banking ( $+17.6 \% \mathrm{QoQ}$ ), which has become one of the main axes of the strategy to migrate our clients to digital channels. This channel's share of total transactions has continued to increase significantly and is currently situated at $45.1 \%$ of total transactions.

The YoY analysis, which excludes the seasonal effect, registered an increase in the monthly transactions level that was mainly attributable to the increase in the volume generated by the following channels:
(i) Mobile Banking ( $+94.6 \% \mathrm{YoY}$ ), which continued to follow an upward trend in its share of total transactions after operating improvements were rolled out for the mobile application "Banca Móvil BCP".
(ii) Agentes BCP (+26.1\% YoY), which was mainly attributable to transactional growth in deposits and withdrawals. An increase was registered in this channel in domestic wire transfers, issuances, waivers and balance inquiries. The aforementioned was in line with growth in the number of savings accounts opened and in Agentes BCP ( $+40 \% \mathrm{YoY}$ ).
(iii) Points of sale P.O.S (+25.3\% YoY), where the increase was driven mainly by growth in the penetration level of VISANET and in client consumption levels.

The aforementioned three points offset the decrease in the transactions volume posted for Internet Banking Vía BCP ( $-16.5 \%$ ), which continued to register a drop in its share of total transactions ( $7.7 \%$ 3Q19 vs $12.9 \% 3 \mathrm{Q} 18$ ). The transactions in traditional channels continued to fall YoY: (i) Tellers ( $-4.8 \%$ ) and (ii) Telephone Banking ( $-1.9 \%$ ) because multiple functions are available through mobile banking. Additionally, efforts continue to implement digital platforms at the Branch level so that clients can autonomously check balances, open savings accounts and collect debit cards.

Growth in banking's transactional level in the region is expected to be driven primarily by digital channels. As such, growth in the transactions volume will be generated mainly by Mobile Banking and Internet Banking, in line with the objective to develop an efficient and profitable network of client service channels. It is important to note the increase in the use of the application Yape, which posted a level of 1.5 million users in 3Q19.

### 9.1.4. Points of Contact - BCP Bolivia

|  | As of |  |  | change (units) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Branches | 54 | 56 | 56 | - | 2 |
| ATMs ${ }^{(1)}$ | 278 | 300 | 303 | 3 | 25 |
| Agentes BCP Bolivia | 318 | 388 | 438 | 50 | 120 |
| Total Bolivia's Network | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ |

(1) Figures differ than previously reported.

BCP Bolivia has increased its number of points of contact ( +53 QoQ ) in 3 Q 19 . This was driven by the entry of new Agentes BCP (+50 QoQ), in line with a growth strategy to open 450 Agentes by year-end.

The total number of points of contact continued to grow YoY (+147), which was primarily attributable to an increase in the number of Agentes BCP and, to a lesser extent through ATMs (+25) and branches (+2). ATMs growth was mainly attributable to the increase in branches. Besides, branch openings were essential to cover the growing unserved clients in the country. One of these branches was opened as a new format office called "Café BCP", in which customers are within a digital and environment focused to achieve a better customer experience, in line with the banking products and services' trends.

### 9.2. Microfinance

### 9.2.1. Points of Contact - Mibanco

|  | As of |  |  |  | change (units) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |  |
| Total Mibanco's Network ${ }^{(1)}$ | 326 | 324 | 324 | - | -2 |  |

(1) Mibanco does not have Agents or ATMs because it uses the BCP network. Mibanco branches include Banco de la Nacion branches, which in Sep 18, Jun 19 and Set 19 were 38,35 and 35 respectively.

The number of points of contact at Mibanco remained stable QoQ. In the YoY analysis a contraction of -2 points is evident. This was due to branch closings at the Banco de la Nacion. It is important to note that Mibanco has an agreement with Banco de la Nacion that allows it to use the latter's branch space to reduce operating costs. At the end of 3Q19, these offices represented 11\% (35 branches) of the total of 324 branches.

## 10. Economic Perspectives

### 10.1. Peru Economic Forecasts

| Peru | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GDP (US\$ Millions) | 191,323 | 194,653 | 214,397 | 225,364 | 224,000 |
| Real GDP (\% change) | 3.3 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 |
| GDP per capita (US\$) | 6,132 | 6,179 | 6,742 | 6,999 | 6,892 |
| Domestic demand (\% change) | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 2.8 |
| Total consumption (\% change) | 4.9 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| Private Consumption (\% change) | 4.0 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 3.0 |
| Gross fixed investment (as \% GDP) | 23.7 | 21.9 | 20.5 | 21.4 | 21.5 |
| Private Investment (\% change) | -4.2 | -5.4 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| Public Investment (\% change) | -6.9 | 0.3 | -1.8 | 6.8 | 0.5 |
| Public Debt (as \% GDP) | 23.3 | 23.9 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 26.5 |
| System loan growth (\% change) ${ }^{(1)}$ | 14.4 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 10.1 | - |
| Inflation ${ }^{(2)}$ | 4.4 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Reference Rate | 3.75 | 4.25 | 3.25 | 2.75 | 2.25 |
| Exchange rate, end of period | 3.41 | 3.36 | 3.24 | 3.37 | 3.35-3.40 |
| Exchange rate, (\% change) | 14.6\% | -1.7\% | -3.5\% | 4.1\% | -0.6\% |
| Fiscal balance (\% GDP) | -2.1 | -2.6 | -3.1 | -2.5 | -2.0 |
| Trade balance (US\$ Millions) | -2,916 | 1,953 | 6,571 | 7,049 | 4,200 |
| (As \% GDP) | -1.5\% | 1.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 1.9\% |
| Exports | 34,414 | 37,082 | 45,275 | 48,942 | 45,900 |
| Imports | 37,331 | 35,128 | 38,704 | 41,893 | 41,700 |
| Current account balance (US\$ Millions) | -9,169 | -5,303 | -2,537 | -3,349 | -4,928 |
| (As \% GDP) | -4.8\% | -2.7\% | -1.2\% | -1.5\% | -2.2\% |
| Net international reserves (US\$ Millions) | 61,485 | 61,686 | 63,621 | 60,121 | 68,800 |
| (As \% GDP) | 32.1\% | 31.7\% | 29.7\% | 26.7\% | 30.7\% |
| (As months of imports) | 20 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 20 |

Source: Estimates by BCP Economic Research as of October 2019; INEI, BCRP, and SBS.
(1) Financial System, Current Exchange Rate
(2) Inflation target: 1\%-3\%

### 10.2. Main Economic Variables



Our estimates suggest GDP grew around 3.3\% YoY in 3Q19 (2Q19: 1.2\%), the higher growth rate of the year. The performance of the quarter is explained by the recovery of the primary sectors, which expanded $2.5 \%$ YoY after the $4.2 \%$ YoY contraction on 2Q19. Particularly, primary resource manufacturing stood out (in Jul/Aug-19 it grew 10\% YoY after a $18 \%$ YoY decline on 2Q19). On the other hand, non-primary sectors slightly accelerated to $3.4 \%$ YoY (2Q19: 2.9\%). The expansion on non-primary sectors was underpinned by the advance of the services sectors (in Jul/Aug-19 they grew 3.8\% YoY, similar to the 3.5\% YoY expansion of the 2Q19).

Inflation and Monetary Policy rate (\%)


Source: INEI, BCRP
Headline inflation closed 3Q19 at 1.9\% YoY (2Q19: 2.3\%) and stood below the midpoint of the target range of the Central Bank (1\%-3\%) for the first time in a year. On the other hand, core inflation stood at 2.2\% YoY (2Q19: 2.3\%); core inflation accumulates $1.68 \%$ for Jan/Sep-19 period, the lowest level for such period in seven years.

During the 3Q19, the Central Bank lowered its reference rate in 25 pbs from $2.75 \%$ to $2.50 \%$, the first cut in year-and-a-half. After making the cut on August, the Central Bank claimed that "this decision doesn't necessarily imply additional rate reductions". However, this statement was then secluded from the official statement on later meetings. Hence, the Central Bank's Board considered appropriate to maintain the expansive monetary policy stance, and it remains vigilant for new information regarding inflation and its determinants, to evaluate additional adjustments to its stance. It's important to remark that in the Inflation Report of September 2019, the Central Bank highlighted a downside bias for its inflation forecast due to a potentially lower-than-expected-growth of domestic demand.

Fiscal Result and Current Account Balance (\% of GDP, Quarter)


Source: BCRP
*BCP estimates
In 3Q19 the annualized fiscal deficit represented 1.6\% of GDP (2Q19: 1.5\%), around its lowest level since 2015. The fiscal deficit remained relatively stable under a context of lower fiscal revenues (from 19.8\% of GDP in 2Q19
to $19.6 \%$ in 3Q19) while the non-financial general government spending represented 20.0\% of GDP (2Q19: 20.1\%). In 3Q19, public investment of the general government decreased $4.4 \%$ YoY (2Q19: $+7.9 \%$ ), by which it declined 2.4\% YTD.

In Jan/Aug-19, the trade balance adds up a surplus of USD 3,137 million, which is $34 \%$ below the same period of last year. Hence, exports decrease $6.8 \%$ YoY in the same period due to lower traditional exports ( $-9.9 \%$, copper: $11.6 \%$ ), while non-traditional exports advanced $1.9 \%$ YoY (agro-exports: $+5.3 \%$ ). It is worth noticing that the contraction of total exports so far this year is mainly explained by a price effect. In contrast, imports fell $2.1 \%$ YoY in the same period, although capital goods imports grew by $5.1 \%$ YoY. Lastly, on Aug-19, the terms of trade advanced $3.0 \%$ YoY after almost a year of consecutive contraction.

Exchange rate (S/ per US\$)


In 3Q19, the exchange rate closed at USDPEN 3.383, which means that the Peruvian Sol depreciated $2.9 \%$ compared to 2Q19 (USDPEN 3.287). In the third quarter of the year, periods of high volatility were observed due to the international environment amid trade tensions between US and the rest of the world, and to a lesser extent due to the local political context.

In 3Q19, all currencies of the region depreciated: Colombian Peso (8.3\%), Brazilian Real (8.0\%), Chilean Peso (7.4\%) y Mexican Peso (2.7\%). On other hand, during 3Q19 the Central Bank did not intervene in the spot FX market, and issued FX Swaps (short position in USD) for PEN 2,400 million during August (during August the exchange rate escalated up to USDPEN 3.40). Lastly, Net International Reserves (NIR) stood at USD 67,680 million, which implied an advanced of USD 1,347 million compared to 2Q19 and USD 7,739 million so far this year.

This material includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All statements other than statements of historical information provided herein are forward-looking and may contain information about financial results, economic conditions, trends and known uncertainties. Forwardlooking statements are neither historical facts nor assurances of future performance. Instead, they are based only on the Company's current beliefs, expectations and assumptions regarding the future of our business, future plans and strategies, projections, anticipated events and trends, the economy and other future conditions.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as: "anticipate", "intend", "plan", "goal", "seek", "believe", "project", "estimate", "expect", "strategy", "future", "likely", "may", "should", "will" and similar references to future periods. Examples of forward-looking statements include, among others, statements or estimates we make regarding guidance relating to Return on Average Equity, Sustainable Return on Average Equity, Cost of Risk, Loan growth, Efficiency ratio, BCP Stand-alone Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ratio and Net Interest Margin, current or future volatility in the credit markets and future market conditions, expected macroeconomic conditions, our belief that we have sufficient liquidity to fund our business operations during the next year, expectations of the effect on our financial condition of claims, litigation, environmental costs, contingent liabilities and governmental and regulatory investigations and proceedings, strategy for customer retention, growth, product development, market position, financial results and reserves and strategy for risk management.

The Company cautions readers that actual results could differ materially from those expected by the Company, depending on the outcome of certain factors, including, without limitation: (1) adverse changes in the Peruvian economy with respect to the rates of inflation, economic growth, currency devaluation, and other factors, (2) adverse changes in the Peruvian political situation, including, without limitation, the reversal of market-oriented reforms and economic recovery measures, or the failure of such measures and reforms to achieve their goals, and (3) adverse changes in the markets in which the Company operates, including increased competition, decreased demand for financial services, and other factors. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Our actual results and financial condition may differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Therefore, you should not rely on any of these forwardlooking statements.

Any forward-looking statement made in this material is based only on information currently available to the Company and speaks only as of the date on which it is made. The Company undertakes no obligation to release publicly the result of any revisions to these forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof, including, without limitation, changes in the Company's business strategy or planned capital expenditures, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events

## 11. Appendix

### 11.1. Credicorp

CREDICORP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
(In S/ thousands, IFRS)

|  | As of |  |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep 2018 | $\text { Jun } 2019$ | Sep 2019 | QoQ | YoY |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | 6,790,095 | 6,350,168 | 6,138,225 | -3.3\% | -9.6\% |
| Interest bearing | 13,426,449 | 17,488,649 | 20,258,177 | 15.8\% | 50.9\% |
| Total cash and due from banks | 20,216,544 | 23,838,817 | 26,396,402 | 10.7\% | 30.6\% |
| Cash collateral, reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrow ing | 4,447,783 | 4,445,749 | 3,903,051 | -12.2\% | -12.2\% |
| Fair value through profit or loss investments | 4,149,319 | 4,024,490 | 3,808,137 | -5.4\% | -8.2\% |
| Fair value through other comprehensive income investments | 23,516,932 | 26,800,577 | 26,794,192 | 0.0\% | 13.9\% |
| Amortized cost investments | 4,106,966 | 3,589,360 | 3,353,898 | -6.6\% | -18.3\% |
| Loans | 105,028,343 | 109,381,123 | 112,209,990 | 2.6\% | 6.8\% |
| Current | 101,839,950 | 106,095,844 | 108,863,601 | 2.6\% | 6.9\% |
| Internal overdue loans | 3,188,393 | 3,285,279 | 3,346,389 | 1.9\% | 5.0\% |
| Less - allow ance for loan losses | $(4,920,319)$ | $(4,878,150)$ | $(4,977,809)$ | 2.0\% | 1.2\% |
| Loans, net | 100,108,024 | 104,502,973 | 107,232,181 | 2.6\% | 7.1\% |
| Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss ${ }^{(2)}$ | 572,872 | 588,074 | 617,387 | 5.0\% | 7.8\% |
| Accounts receivable from reinsurers and coinsurers | 827,001 | 862,521 | 779,961 | -9.6\% | -5.7\% |
| Premiums and other policyholder receivables | 741,316 | 884,572 | 793,858 | -10.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Property, plant and equipment, net ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1,423,095 | 2,918,795 | 2,925,381 | 0.2\% | 105.6\% |
| Due from customers on acceptances | 969,702 | 534,637 | 434,457 | -18.7\% | -55.2\% |
| Investments in associates ${ }^{(4)}$ | 573,750 | 576,333 | 603,487 | 4.7\% | 5.2\% |
| Intangible assets and goodw ill, net | 2,010,544 | 2,061,611 | 2,099,563 | 1.8\% | 4.4\% |
| Other assets ${ }^{(5)}$ | 4,757,286 | 5,536,544 | 6,562,316 | 18.5\% | 37.9\% |
| Total Assets | 168,421,134 | 181,165,053 | 186,304,271 | 2.8\% | 10.6\% |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits and obligations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | 24,975,666 | 25,339,482 | 26,707,196 | 5.4\% | 6.9\% |
| Interest bearing | 72,399,745 | 77,817,562 | 80,684,524 | 3.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Total deposits and obligations | 97,375,411 | 103,157,044 | 107,391,720 | 4.1\% | 10.3\% |
| Payables from repurchase agreements and securities lending | 9,439,974 | 10,448,517 | 7,684,690 | -26.5\% | -18.6\% |
| BCRP instruments | 4,851,806 | 6,304,186 | 4,144,908 | -34.3\% | -14.6\% |
| Repurchase agreements w ith third parties | 2,739,630 | 2,455,665 | 2,031,025 | -17.3\% | -25.9\% |
| Repurchase agreements with customers | 1,848,538 | 1,688,666 | 1,508,757 | -10.7\% | -18.4\% |
| Due to banks and correspondents | 7,509,183 | 9,222,278 | 8,624,286 | -6.5\% | 14.8\% |
| Bonds and notes issued | 15,194,775 | 15,058,760 | 17,160,564 | 14.0\% | 12.9\% |
| Banker's acceptances outstanding | 969,702 | 534,637 | 434,457 | -18.7\% | -55.2\% |
| Reserves for property and casualty claims | 1,340,913 | 1,525,832 | 1,547,981 | 1.5\% | 15.4\% |
| Reserve for unearned premiums | 6,834,070 | 7,412,792 | 7,597,409 | 2.5\% | 11.2\% |
| Accounts payable to reinsurers | 276,577 | 302,079 | 220,731 | -26.9\% | -20.2\% |
| Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss ${ }^{(6)}$ | 685,416 | 548,367 | 417,695 | -23.8\% | -39.1\% |
| Other liabilities | 5,357,946 | 7,288,567 | 8,764,594 | 20.3\% | 63.6\% |
| Total Liabilities | 144,983,967 | 155,498,873 | 159,844,127 | 2.8\% | 10.2\% |
| Net equity | 23,006,133 | 25,221,894 | 26,000,638 | 3.1\% | 13.0\% |
| Capital stock | 1,318,993 | 1,318,993 | 1,318,993 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Treasury stock | $(207,994)$ | $(207,839)$ | $(207,839)$ | 0.0\% | -0.1\% |
| Capital surplus | 249,398 | 227,380 | 222,605 | -2.1\% | -10.7\% |
| Reserves | 17,576,109 | 19,423,324 | 19,416,912 | 0.0\% | 10.5\% |
| Unrealized gains and losses | 899,547 | 1,541,235 | 1,844,990 | 19.7\% | 105.1\% |
| Retained earnings | 3,170,080 | 2,918,801 | 3,404,977 | 16.7\% | 7.4\% |
| Non-controlling interest | 431,034 | 444,286 | 459,506 | 3.4\% | 6.6\% |
| Total Net Equity | 23,437,167 | 25,666,180 | 26,460,144 | 3.1\% | 12.9\% |
| Total liabilities and equity | 168,421,134 | 181,165,053 | 186,304,271 | 2.8\% | 10.6\% |
| Off-balance sheet | 120,784,835 | 125,418,778 | 133,337,813 | 6.3\% | 10.4\% |
| Total performance bonds, stand-by and L/Cs. | 18,723,556 | 19,666,170 | 20,416,414 | 3.8\% | 9.0\% |
| Undraw n credit lines, advised but not committed | 74,633,738 | 76,368,545 | 80,887,384 | 5.9\% | 8.4\% |
| Total derivatives (notional) and others | 27,427,541 | 29,384,063 | 32,034,015 | 9.0\% | 16.8\% |

(1) The amounts differ from those previously reported in 2018 period, due to the reclassification to the item "Cash collateral, reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing" mainly for the cash collateral in dollars delivered to the BCRP, previously presented in the item "Cash and due from banks".
(2) In the 2019 period, this item was opened in the statement of financial position; previously presented under the item "Investments at fair value through profit or loss".
(3) The amounts differ from those previously reported in 2018 period, due to the reclassification of the expenses on improvements in building for rent, previously presented in the item "Other assets". Likewise, in the period 2019, the asset for the right to use the lease contracts was incorporated, in application of IFRS 16.
(4) Includes investments in associates, mainly Banmedica and Visanet, among others.
(5) Includes mainly accounts receivables from brokerage and others.
(6) In the 2019 period, this item was opened in the statement of financial position; previously presented in the item "Other liabilities".

|  | CREDICORP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (In S/ thousands, IFRS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and dividend income | 2,887,326 | 3,083,623 | 3,123,672 | 1.3\% | 8.2\% | 8,489,090 | 9,208,969 | 8.5\% |
| Interest expense ${ }^{(1)}$ | $(748,193)$ | $(826,866)$ | $(846,288)$ | 2.3\% | 13.1\% | (2,244,238) | $(2,485,910)$ | 10.8\% |
| Net interest income | 2,139,133 | 2,256,757 | 2,277,384 | 0.9\% | 6.5\% | 6,244,852 | 6,723,059 | 7.7\% |
| Gross provision for credit losses on loan portfolio | $(511,710)$ | $(510,045)$ | $(568,034)$ | 11.4\% | 11.0\% | (1,335,714) | $(1,531,364)$ | 14.6\% |
| Recoveries of written-off loans | 72,152 | 61,751 | 65,262 | 5.7\% | -9.5\% | 211,960 | 197,087 | -7.0\% |
| Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries | $(439,558)$ | $(448,294)$ | $(502,772)$ | 12.2\% | 14.4\% | (1,123,754) | (1,334,277) | 18.7\% |
| Risk-adjusted net interest income | 1,699,575 | 1,808,463 | 1,774,612 | -1.9\% | 4.4\% | 5,121,098 | 5,388,782 | 5.2\% |
| Non-financial income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fee income | 773,529 | 787,250 | 815,403 | 3.6\% | 5.4\% | 2,290,215 | 2,385,575 | 4.2\% |
| Net gain on foreign exchange transactions | 182,777 | 188,358 | 188,073 | -0.2\% | 2.9\% | 525,741 | 554,854 | 5.5\% |
| Net gain on sales of securities ${ }^{(2)}$ | 50,192 | 100,987 | 150,427 | 49.0\% | 199.7\% | 123,436 | 364,959 | 195.7\% |
| Net gain from associates ${ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 15,698 | 20,478 | 21,842 | 6.7\% | 39.1\% | 53,410 | 57,106 | 6.9\% |
| Net gain on derivatives held for trading | 674 | (724) | 2,158 | 398.1\% | 220.2\% | 14,959 | $(1,000)$ | -106.7\% |
| Net gain from exchange differences | 8,834 | $(3,603)$ | $(1,964)$ | 45.5\% | -122.2\% | 15,754 | 2,093 | -86.7\% |
| Other non-financial income | 67,174 | 98,703 | 94,647 | -4.1\% | 40.9\% | 234,059 | 268,955 | 14.9\% |
| Total non-financial income | 1,098,878 | 1,191,449 | 1,270,586 | 6.6\% | 15.6\% | 3,257,574 | 3,632,542 | 11.5\% |
| Insurance underwriting result |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earned premiums | 536,277 | 590,066 | 612,534 | 3.8\% | 14.2\% | 1,556,984 | 1,789,756 | 15.0\% |
| Net claims | $(318,644)$ | $(379,718)$ | $(392,520)$ | 3.4\% | 23.2\% | $(914,234)$ | $(1,158,759)$ | 26.7\% |
| Acquisition cost | $(96,382)$ | $(91,666)$ | $(94,239)$ | 2.8\% | -2.2\% | $(292,486)$ | $(277,186)$ | -5.2\% |
| Total insurance underwriting result | 121,251 | 118,682 | 125,775 | 6.0\% | 3.7\% | 350,264 | 353,811 | 1.0\% |
| Total expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | $(794,634)$ | $(845,835)$ | $(845,345)$ | -0.1\% | 6.4\% | (2,352,806) | $(2,525,497)$ | 7.3\% |
| Administrative, general and tax expenses | $(590,491)$ | $(531,336)$ | $(560,780)$ | 5.5\% | -5.0\% | $(1,647,927)$ | $(1,605,257)$ | -2.6\% |
| Depreciation and amortization ${ }^{(4)}$ | $(105,517)$ | $(180,381)$ | $(185,574)$ | 2.9\% | 75.9\% | $(318,710)$ | $(506,652)$ | 59.0\% |
| Association in participation ${ }^{(5)}$ | $(5,711)$ | $(4,746)$ | $(5,348)$ | 12.7\% | -6.4\% | $(14,355)$ | $(12,830)$ | -10.6\% |
| Other expenses | $(29,102)$ | $(20,555)$ | $(54,575)$ | 165.5\% | 87.5\% | $(164,430)$ | $(122,571)$ | -25.5\% |
| Total expenses | $(1,525,455)$ | $(1,582,853)$ | $(1,651,622)$ | 4.3\% | 8.3\% | $(4,498,228)$ | (4,772,807) | 6.1\% |
| Profit before income tax | 1,394,249 | 1,535,741 | 1,519,351 | -1.1\% | 9.0\% | 4,230,708 | 4,602,328 | 8.8\% |
| Income tax | $(363,154)$ | $(415,210)$ | $(403,771)$ | -2.8\% | 11.2\% | $(1,136,557)$ | $(1,242,954)$ | 9.4\% |
| Net profit | 1,031,095 | 1,120,531 | 1,115,580 | -0.4\% | 8.2\% | 3,094,151 | 3,359,374 | 8.6\% |
| Non-controlling interest | 19,809 | 21,958 | 22,545 | 2.7\% | 13.8\% | 67,219 | 66,900 | -0.5\% |
| Net profit attributable to Credicorp | 1,011,286 | 1,098,573 | 1,093,035 | -0.5\% | 8.1\% | 3,026,932 | 3,292,474 | 8.8\% |

(1) As of 2019, financing expenses related to lease agreements is included according to the application of IFRS 16.
(2) Starting in 2019 the gain from other investments in related companies has been included in the item "Net gain in associates"; which previously was presented in the item "Net gain on securities".
(3) Includes gains on other investments, mainly made up of the profit of Banmedica.
(4) The acquisition cost of Pacifico includes net fees and underwriting expenses.
(5) From 2019, the effect is being incorporated by the application of IFRS 16, which corresponds to a greater depreciation for the asset for right-of-use". Likewise, the expenses related to the
depreciation of improvements in building for rent is being reclassified to the item "Other expenses".
(6) From this quarter, the item "Association in participation" was incorporated, which previously was presented in the item "Net gain on securities"

### 11.2. BCP Consolidated

## BANCO DE CREDITO DEL PERU AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (In S/ thousands, IFRS)

|  | As of |  |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep 2018 | Jun 2019 | Sep 2019 | QoQ | YoY |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | 5,579,636 | 5,039,035 | 4,778,987 | -5.2\% | -14.3\% |
| Interest bearing | 13,063,804 | 16,660,085 | 19,146,069 | 14.9\% | 46.6\% |
| Total cash and due from banks | 18,643,440 | 21,699,120 | 23,925,056 | 10.3\% | 28.3\% |
| Cash collateral, reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing | 3,286,785 | 3,255,249 | 2,969,737 | -8.8\% | -9.6\% |
| Fair value through profit or loss investments | 350,710 | 516,973 | 182,957 | -64.6\% | -47.8\% |
| Fair value through other comprehensive income investments | 12,310,693 | 15,626,554 | 14,934,297 | -4.4\% | 21.3\% |
| Amortized cost investments | 3,799,355 | 3,347,118 | 3,293,125 | -1.6\% | -13.3\% |
| Loans | 95,616,875 | 99,791,392 | 102,338,510 | 2.6\% | 7.0\% |
| Current | 92,568,501 | 96,649,966 | 99,151,976 | 2.6\% | 7.1\% |
| Internal overdue loans | 3,048,374 | 3,141,426 | 3,186,534 | 1.4\% | 4.5\% |
| Less - allow ance for loan losses | $(4,684,448)$ | $(4,637,969)$ | $(4,734,401)$ | 2.1\% | 1.1\% |
| Loans, net | 90,932,427 | 95,153,423 | 97,604,109 | 2.6\% | 7.3\% |
| Property, furniture and equipment, net ${ }^{(1)}$ | 1,234,451 | 2,662,063 | 2,670,154 | 0.3\% | 116.3\% |
| Due from customers on acceptances | 969,702 | 534,637 | 434,457 | -18.7\% | -55.2\% |
| Other assets ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3,709,396 | 4,638,680 | 5,195,664 | 12.0\% | 40.1\% |
| Total Assets | 135,236,959 | 147,433,817 | 151,209,556 | 2.6\% | 11.8\% |
| Liabilities and Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits and obligations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | 22,012,074 | 23,243,024 | 24,483,137 | 5.3\% | 11.2\% |
| Interest bearing | 63,902,968 | 69,210,922 | 71,620,420 | 3.5\% | 12.1\% |
| Total deposits and obligations | 85,915,042 | 92,453,946 | 96,103,557 | 3.9\% | 11.9\% |
| Payables from repurchase agreements and securities lending | 6,753,204 | 8,019,941 | 5,721,581 | -28.7\% | -15.3\% |
| BCRP instruments | 4,851,805 | 6,304,186 | 4,144,908 | -34.3\% | -14.6\% |
| Repurchase agreements with third parties | 1,901,399 | 1,715,756 | 1,576,673 | -8.1\% | -17.1\% |
| Due to banks and correspondents | 7,666,566 | 9,280,977 | 8,714,198 | -6.1\% | 13.7\% |
| Bonds and notes issued | 14,450,969 | 14,429,601 | 16,546,780 | 14.7\% | 14.5\% |
| Banker's acceptances outstanding | 969,702 | 534,637 | 434,457 | -18.7\% | -55.2\% |
| Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss | 52,641 | 70,459 | 13,977 | -80.2\% | -73.4\% |
| Other liabilities ${ }^{(3)}$ | 3,111,909 | 4,990,667 | 5,542,036 | 11.0\% | 78.1\% |
| Total Liabilities | 118,920,033 | 129,780,228 | 133,076,586 | 2.5\% | 11.9\% |
| Net equity | 16,177,667 | 17,539,343 | 18,013,914 | 2.7\% | 11.4\% |
| Capital stock | 8,476,984 | 9,924,006 | 9,924,006 | 0.0\% | 17.1\% |
| Reserves | 3,965,447 | 4,476,256 | 4,476,256 | 0.0\% | 12.9\% |
| Unrealized gains and losses | 49,695 | 235,391 | 296,915 | 26.1\% | 497.5\% |
| Retained earnings | 3,685,541 | 2,903,690 | 3,316,737 | 14.2\% | -10.0\% |
| Non-controlling interest | 139,259 | 114,246 | 119,056 | 4.2\% | -14.5\% |
| Total Net Equity | 16,316,926 | 17,653,589 | 18,132,970 | 2.7\% | 11.1\% |
| Total liabilities and equity | 135,236,959 | 147,433,817 | 151,209,556 | 2.6\% | 11.8\% |
| Off-balance sheet | 109,893,890 | 112,550,680 | 119,919,107 | 6.5\% | 9.1\% |
| Total performance bonds, stand-by and L/Cs. | 17,051,392 | 17,678,879 | 18,482,396 | 4.5\% | 8.4\% |
| Undraw n credit lines, advised but not committed | 66,950,168 | 67,316,327 | 70,846,512 | 5.2\% | 5.8\% |
| Total derivatives (notional) and others | 25,892,330 | 27,555,474 | 30,590,199 | 11.0\% | 18.1\% |

(1) The amounts differ from those previously reported due to the reclassification of the expenses on improvements in building for rent, previously presented in the item "Other assets". Likewise, in the 2019 the asset is incorporated for the right to use the lease contracts, in application of the IFRS 16.
(2) Mainly includes intangible assets, other receivable accounts and tax credit.
(3) Mainly includes other payable accounts.

| $\mathrm{CC}$ | CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME <br> (In S/ thousands, IFRS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Interest income and expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and dividend income | 2,553,311 | 2,727,447 | 2,780,173 | 1.9\% | 8.9\% | 7,527,500 | 8,163,835 | 8.5\% |
| Interest expense ${ }^{(1)}$ | $(647,701)$ | $(720,654)$ | $(737,099)$ | 2.3\% | 13.8\% | $(1,948,132)$ | $(2,169,868)$ | 11.4\% |
| Net interest income | 1,905,610 | 2,006,793 | 2,043,074 | 1.8\% | 7.2\% | 5,579,368 | 5,993,967 | 7.4\% |
| Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio | $(494,089)$ | $(494,492)$ | $(557,416)$ | 12.7\% | 12.8\% | $(1,294,212)$ | $(1,489,122)$ | 15.1\% |
| Recoveries of written-off loans | 71,616 | 60,861 | 64,728 | 6.4\% | -9.6\% | 209,628 | 195,425 | -6.8\% |
| Provision for credit losses on loan portfolio, net of recoveries | $(422,473)$ | $(433,631)$ | $(492,688)$ | 13.6\% | 16.6\% | $(1,084,584)$ | $(1,293,697)$ | 19.3\% |
| Risk-adjusted net interest income | 1,483,137 | 1,573,162 | 1,550,386 | -1.4\% | 4.5\% | 4,494,784 | 4,700,270 | 4.6\% |
| Non-financial income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fee income | 624,494 | 635,782 | 661,070 | 4.0\% | 5.9\% | 1,835,021 | 1,929,178 | 5.1\% |
| Net gain on foreign exchange transactions | 174,330 | 184,131 | 184,383 | 0.1\% | 5.8\% | 500,951 | 538,533 | 7.5\% |
| Net gain on securities | 1,405 | 20,431 | 85,506 | 318.5\% | 5985.8\% | 9,028 | 111,807 | 1138.4\% |
| Net gain on derivatives held for trading | $(7,316)$ | 12,918 | 2,762 | -78.6\% | -137.8\% | $(4,546)$ | 28,350 | -723.6\% |
| Net gain from exchange differences | 6,838 | 952 | $(4,515)$ | -574.3\% | -166.0\% | 20,204 | 1,469 | -92.7\% |
| Others | 58,706 | 47,128 | 75,755 | 60.7\% | 29.0\% | 186,895 | 173,668 | -7.1\% |
| Total other income | 858,457 | 901,342 | 1,004,961 | 11.5\% | 17.1\% | 2,547,553 | 2,783,005 | 9.2\% |
| Total expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | $(606,897)$ | $(638,802)$ | $(638,819)$ | 0.0\% | 5.3\% | $(1,784,525)$ | $(1,904,389)$ | 6.7\% |
| Administrative expenses | $(474,723)$ | $(413,990)$ | $(448,657)$ | 8.4\% | -5.5\% | $(1,304,761)$ | $(1,247,360)$ | -4.4\% |
| Depreciation and amortization ${ }^{(2)}$ | $(80,584)$ | $(149,447)$ | $(151,466)$ | 1.4\% | 88.0\% | $(243,668)$ | $(417,322)$ | 71.3\% |
| Other expenses | $(33,057)$ | $(27,943)$ | $(39,504)$ | 41.4\% | 19.5\% | $(143,900)$ | $(98,540)$ | -31.5\% |
| Total expenses | $(1,195,261)$ | $(1,230,182)$ | $(1,278,446)$ | 3.9\% | 7.0\% | ( $3,476,854$ ) | $(3,667,611)$ | 5.5\% |
| Profit before income tax | 1,146,333 | 1,244,322 | 1,276,901 | 2.6\% | 11.4\% | 3,565,483 | 3,815,664 | 7.0\% |
| Income tax | $(311,995)$ | $(335,202)$ | $(326,799)$ | -2.5\% | 4.7\% | $(988,689)$ | $(1,018,887)$ | 3.1\% |
| Net profit | 834,338 | 909,120 | 950,102 | 4.5\% | 13.9\% | 2,576,794 | 2,796,777 | 8.5\% |
| Non-controlling interest | $(4,563)$ | $(4,844)$ | $(4,729)$ | -2.4\% | 3.6\% | $(18,372)$ | $(14,504)$ | -21.1\% |
| Net profit attributable to BCP Consolidated | 829,775 | 904,276 | 945,373 | 4.5\% | 13.9\% | 2,558,422 | 2,782,273 | 8.7\% |

(1) As of 2019, financing expenses related to lease agreements is included according to the application of IFRS 16.
(2) From this quarter, the effect is being incorporated by the application of IFRS 16, which corresponds to a greater depreciation for the asset for right-of-use". Likewise, the expenses related to the depreciation of improvements in building for rent is being reclassified to the item "Other expenses".

## BANCO DE CREDITO DEL PERU AND SUBSIDIARIES SELECTED FINANCIAL INDICATORS

|  | Quarter |  |  | YTD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |
| Profitability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings per share ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0.081 | 0.089 | 0.093 | 0.250 | 0.272 |
| ROAA ${ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 5.7\% | 5.8\% |
| ROAE ${ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 21.1\% | 21.2\% | 21.3\% | 21.6\% | 21.2\% |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 5.93\% | 5.82\% | 5.79\% | 5.67\% | 5.72\% |
| Risk adjusted $\mathrm{NIM}^{(2)(3)}$ | 4.62\% | 4.56\% | 4.40\% | 4.57\% | 4.54\% |
| Funding $\operatorname{Cost}^{(2)(3)(4)}$ | 2.25\% | 2.38\% | 2.35\% | 2.21\% | 2.31\% |
| Quality of loan portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |
| IOL ratio | 3.19\% | 3.15\% | 3.11\% | 3.19\% | 3.11\% |
| NPL ratio | 4.39\% | 4.34\% | 4.29\% | 4.39\% | 4.29\% |
| Coverage of IOLs | 153.7\% | 147.6\% | 148.6\% | 153.7\% | 148.6\% |
| Coverage of NPLs | 111.5\% | 107.1\% | 107.8\% | 111.5\% | 107.8\% |
| Cost of risk ${ }^{(5)}$ | 1.77\% | 1.74\% | 1.93\% | 1.51\% | 1.69\% |
| Operating efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oper. expenses as a percent. of total income - reported ${ }^{(6)}$ | 43.0\% | 42.3\% | 42.9\% | 42.0\% | 42.0\% |
| Oper. expenses as a percent. of total income - including all other items | 43.2\% | 42.3\% | 41.9\% | 42.8\% | 41.8\% |
| Oper. expenses as a percent. of av. tot. assets ${ }^{(2)(3)(6)}$ | 3.45\% | 3.29\% | 3.32\% | 3.23\% | 3.22\% |
| Capital adequacy ${ }^{(7)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total regulatory capital (S/ Million) | 17,493 | 19,138 | 20,149 | 17,493 | 20,149 |
| Tier 1 capital (S/ Million) ${ }^{(8)}$ | 12,831 | 14,504 | 15,371 | 12,831 | 15,371 |
| Common equity tier 1 ratio ${ }^{(9)}$ | 11.61\% | 11.82\% | 11.95\% | 11.61\% | 11.95\% |
| BIS ratio ${ }^{(10)}$ | 14.94\% | 14.95\% | 15.45\% | 14.94\% | 15.45\% |
| Share Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ of outstanding shares (Million) | 10,217 | 10,217 | 10,217 | 10,217.39 | 10,217.39 |

(1) Shares outstanding of 10,217 million is used for all periods since shares have been issued only for capitalization of profits.
(2) Ratios are annualized.
(3) Averages are determined as the average of period-beginning and period-ending balances.
(4) The funding costs differs from previously reported due to a methodoloy change in the denominator, which no longer includes the following accounts: acceptances
outstanding, reserves for property and casualty claims, reserve for unearned premiums, reinsurance payable and other liabilities.
(5) Cost of risk: Annualized provision for loan losses / Total loans.
(6) Total income includes net interest income, fee income, net gain on foreign exchange transactions, result on exchange difference and net gain on derivatives. Operating expenses includes Salaries and social benefits, administrative, general and tax expenses and depreciation and amortization.
(7) All capital ratios are for BCP Stand-alone and based on Peru GAAP
(8) Tier 1 = Capital + Legal and other capital reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries - Goodwill - ( 0.5 $x$ Investment in subsidiaries) + Perpetual subordinated debt (maximum amount that can be included is $17.65 \%$ of Capital + Reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries - Goodwill).
(9) Common Equity Tier I = Capital + Reserves - 100\% of applicable deductions (investment in subsidiaries, goodwill, intangibles and net deferred taxes that rely on future profitability) + retained earnings + unrealized gains.
(10) Regulatory capital/ risk-weighted assets. Risk weighted assets include market risk and operational risk

### 11.3. Mibanco


(1) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report.
(2) Includes Banco de la Nacion branches, which in September 18 were 38, in June 19 were 35 and in September 19 were 35.

### 11.4. BCP Bolivia


(1) Figures differ from previously reported, please consider the data presented on this report.

### 11.5. Credicorp Capital

| Credicorp Capital S/ 000 | Quarter |  |  | YTD |  | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | Sep 18 | Sep 19 | Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| Net interest income | -12,189 | -6,206 | -9,271 | -33,166 | -24,568 | -25.9\% |
| Non-financial income | 141,301 | 139,041 | 141,907 | 433,200 | 432,637 | -0.1\% |
| Fee income | 95,200 | 90,644 | 96,586 | 299,443 | 281,880 | -5.9\% |
| Net gain on foreign exchange transactions | 8,414 | 9,041 | 11,469 | 23,768 | 30,635 | 28.9\% |
| Net gain on sales of securities | 27,379 | 47,452 | 32,455 | 84,681 | 130,392 | 54.0\% |
| Derivative result | 7,396 | -13,806 | 123 | 18,819 | -29,153 | -254.9\% |
| Result from exposure to the exchange rate | 1,108 | -1,075 | 389 | -5,407 | 1,002 | -118.5\% |
| Other income | 1,804 | 6,785 | 885 | 11,896 | 17,881 | 50.3\% |
| Operating expenses ${ }^{(1)}$ | -102,396 | -118,246 | $-114,119$ | -328,035 | -354,642 | 8.1\% |
| Operating income | 26,716 | 14,589 | 18,517 | 71,999 | 53,427 | -25.8\% |
| Income taxes | -8,766 | -3,394 | -5,559 | -21,395 | -13,703 | -36.0\% |
| Non-controlling interest | -180 | -372 | 52 | -631 | -472 | -25.2\% |
| Net income | 17,770 | 10,823 | 13,010 | 49,973 | 39,252 | -21.5\% |

* Unaudited results.
(1) Includes: Salaries and employee's benefits + Administrative expenses + Assigned expenses + Depreciation and amortization + Tax and contributions + Other expenses


### 11.6. Atlantic Security Bank

| ASB | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US\$ Millions | 3Q18 | $\mathbf{2 Q 1 9}$ | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Total loans | 766.4 | 736.2 | 732.6 | $-0.5 \%$ | $-4.4 \%$ |
| Total investments | $1,018.7$ | 808.4 | 733.6 | $-9.3 \%$ | $-28.0 \%$ |
| Total assets | $1,921.0$ | $1,920.0$ | $1,991.8$ | $3.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| Total deposits | $1,367.3$ | $1,267.4$ | $1,277.5$ | $0.8 \%$ | $-6.6 \%$ |
| Net shareholder's equity | 238.1 | 248.4 | 264.5 | $6.5 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| Net income | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 1 \%}$ |

Interest earning assets

| Interest earning assets* | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| US\$ 000 | 3Q18 |  | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ |
| Due from banks | 73 | 142 | 157 | $10.5 \%$ | $114.3 \%$ |
| Total loans | 766 | 736 | 733 | $-0.5 \%$ | $-4.4 \%$ |
| Investments | 986 | 751 | 687 | $-8.5 \%$ | $-30.3 \%$ |
| Total interest earning assets | $\mathbf{1 , 8 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 . 6 \%}$ |

* Excludes investments in equities and mutual funds.


## Liabilities

| Liabilities US\$ 000 | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY |
| Deposits | 1,367 | 1,267 | 1,278 | 0.8\% | -6.6\% |
| Borrow ed Funds | 272 | 228 | 83 | -63.6\% | -69.5\% |
| Other liabilities | 44 | 176 | 367 | 108.0\% | 739.2\% |
| Total liabilities | 1,683 | 1,672 | 1,727 | 3.3\% | 2.6\% |

Assets under management and Deposits
(US\$ Millions)

(1) Figures in 2Q19 differ from previously reported.

## Portfolio distribution as of September 2019



### 11.7. Grupo Pacífico

|  | GRUPO PACIFICO * <br> ( $\mathrm{S} /$ in thousands ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | As of |  |  | \% change |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |  |  |  |
| Total assets | 11,965,692 | 13,154,757 | 13,640,680 | 3.7\% | 14.0\% |  |  |  |
| Invesment on securities ${ }^{(1)}$ | 8,846,602 | 9,642,535 | 10,331,786 | 7.1\% | 16.8\% |  |  |  |
| Technical reserves | 8,183,706 | 8,946,136 | 9,151,080 | 2.3\% | 11.8\% |  |  |  |
| Net equity | 2,596,213 | 3,097,078 | 3,423,666 | 10.5\% | 31.9\% |  |  |  |
|  | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 | Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| Net earned premiums | 538,742 | 592,546 | 615,145 | 3.8\% | 14.2\% | 1,563,448 | 1,796,633 | 14.9\% |
| Net claims | 318,645 | 379,718 | 392,520 | 3.4\% | 23.2\% | 914,235 | 1,158,759 | 26.7\% |
| Net fees | 141,979 | 138,357 | 147,821 | 6.8\% | 4.1\% | 424,756 | 420,685 | -1.0\% |
| Net underw riting expenses | 28,767 | 33,761 | 30,293 | -10.3\% | 5.3\% | 95,244 | 101,065 | 6.1\% |
| Underwriting result | 49,351 | 40,710 | 44,512 | 9.3\% | -9.8\% | 129,213 | 116,123 | -10.1\% |
| Net financial income | 142,449 | 150,249 | 126,226 | -16.0\% | -11.4\% | 382,118 | 419,831 | 9.9\% |
| Total expenses | 93,601 | 107,614 | 104,421 | -3.0\% | 11.6\% | 302,129 | 319,486 | 5.7\% |
| Other income | -6,779 | 8,772 | 14,208 | 62.0\% | -309.6\% | 14,086 | 30,079 | 113.5\% |
| Traslations results | 1,547 | -478 | 1,621 | -439.4\% | 4.8\% | 3,949 | 643 | -83.7\% |
| Net gain on associates - EPS business and medical services | 10,686 | 12,758 | 14,702 | 15.2\% | 37.6\% | 22,867 | 23,548 | 3.0\% |
| Medical Assistance insurance deduction | 5,711 | 4,746 | 5,348 | 12.7\% | -6.4\% | 14,355 | 12,830 | -10.6\% |
| Income tax | -487 | 1,359 | 1,491 | 9.8\% | -406.2\% | 3,567 | 4,367 | 22.4\% |
| Income before minority interest | 98,429 | 98,293 | 90,008 | -8.4\% | -8.6\% | 246,537 | 266,371 | 8.0\% |
| Non-controlling interest | 2,590 | 2,454 | 2,525 | 2.9\% | -2.5\% | 6,964 | 7,571 | 8.7\% |
| Net income | 95,839 | 95,839 | 87,482 | -8.7\% | -8.7\% | 239,573 | 258,800 | 8.0\% |
| Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceded | 16.3\% | 18.5\% | 14.4\% | -410 bps | -190 bps | 14.7\% | 15.1\% | 40 bps |
| Loss ratio ${ }^{(2)}$ | 59.1\% | 64.1\% | 63.8\% | -30 bps | 470 bps | 58.5\% | 64.5\% | 600 bps |
| Fees + underw riting expenses, net/ net earned premiums | 31.7\% | 29.0\% | 29.0\% | 0 bps | -270 bps | 33.3\% | 29.0\% | -430 bps |
| Underw riting results / net earned premiums | 9.2\% | 6.9\% | 7.2\% | 30 bps | -200 bps | 8.3\% | 6.5\% | -180 bps |
| Operating expenses / net earned premiums | 17.4\% | 18.2\% | 17.0\% | $-120 \mathrm{bps}$ | -40 bps | 19.3\% | 17.8\% | -150 bps |
| ROAE ${ }^{(3)(4)}$ | 15.5\% | 13.7\% | 11.0\% | -270 bps | -450 bps | 12.1\% | 11.8\% | $-30 \mathrm{bps}$ |
| Return on w ritten premiums | 11.0\% | 10.2\% | 10.1\% | -10 bps | -90 bps | 9.6\% | 9.6\% | 0 bps |
| Combined ratio of P\&C ${ }^{(5)}$ | 96.5\% | 97.5\% | 97.9\% | 40 bps | 140 bps | 100.3\% | 99.8\% | $-50 \mathrm{bps}$ |

*Financial statements without consolidation adjustments.
(1) Excluding investments in real estate.
(2) Net claims / Net earned premiums.
(3) Includes unrealized gains.
(4) Annualized and average are determined as the average of period beginning and period ending
(5) (Net claims / Net earned premiums) + [(Acquisition cost + total expenses) / Net earned premiums].

From 1Q15 and on, Grupo Pacifico's financial statements reflect the association with Banmedica. This partnership includes:
(i) the private health insurance business, which is managed by Grupo Pacifico and incorporated in each line of Grupo Pacifico's financial statements;
(ii) corporate health insurance for payroll employees; and
(iii) medical services.

The businesses described in ii) and iii) are managed by Banmedica, therefore they do not consolidate in Grupo Pacifico's financial statements. The 50\% of net income generated by Banmedica is recorded in Grupo Pacifico's Income Statement as a gain/loss on investments in subsidiaries.

As explained before, corporate health insurance and medical services businesses are consolidated by Banmedica. The following table reflects the consolidated results from which Grupo Pacifico receives the $50 \%$ net income.

## Corporate Health Insurance and Medical Services

( $\mathrm{S} /$ in thousands)

|  | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | \% change <br> Set $19 /$ Set 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Set 18 | Set 19 |  |
| Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earned premiums | 255,104 | 264,725 | 275,951 | 4.2\% | 8.2\% | 744,745 | 799,131 | 7.3\% |
| Net claims | -220,993 | -225,704 | -233,949 | 3.7\% | 5.9\% | -623,847 | -693,250 | 11.1\% |
| Net fees | -11,740 | -11,697 | -12,365 | 5.7\% | 5.3\% | -33,483 | -35,941 | 7.3\% |
| Net underw riting expenses | -2,676 | -2,492 | -2,486 | -0.3\% | -7.1\% | -8,951 | -7,890 | -11.9\% |
| Underwriting result | 19,695 | 24,832 | 27,151 | 9.3\% | 37.9\% | 78,464 | 62,051 | -20.9\% |
| Net financial income | 1,158 | 1,070 | 1,612 | 50.6\% | 39.2\% | 3,589 | 4,187 | 16.7\% |
| Total expenses | -18,211 | -18,203 | -18,352 | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | -54,698 | -54,091 | -1.1\% |
| Other income | -164 | 621 | 251 | -59.5\% | -253.6\% | 48 | 1,884 | 3793.8\% |
| Traslations results | 44 | -197 | 1,030 | -623.3\% | N/A | 75 | 817 | 991.8\% |
| Income tax | -680 | -2,670 | -3,716 | 39.2\% | 446.6\% | -9,040 | -4,772 | -47.2\% |
| Net income before Medical services | 1,842 | 5,452 | 7,976 | 46.3\% | 332.9\% | 18,438 | 10,076 | -45.4\% |
| Net income of Medical services | 19,448 | 19,983 | 21,341 | 6.8\% | 9.7\% | 55,766 | 62,432 | 12.0\% |
| Net income | 21,290 | 25,435 | 29,317 | 15.3\% | 37.7\% | 74,204 | 72,508 | -2.3\% |

### 11.8. Prima AFP

|  | Quarter |  |  | \% change |  | YTD |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% change } \\ \text { Sep } 19 \text { / Sep } 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q18 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | QoQ | YoY | Sep 18 | Sep 19 |  |
| Income from commissions | 94,417 | 105,867 | 97,099 | -8.3\% | 2.8\% | 285,638 | 302,723 | 6.0\% |
| Administrative and sale expenses | $(38,229)$ | $(38,358)$ | $(33,617)$ | -12.4\% | -12.1\% | -117,318 | -109,267 | -6.9\% |
| Depreciation and amortization | $(4,464)$ | $(4,725)$ | $(8,190)$ | 73.3\% | 83.5\% | -14,024 | -17,388 | 24.0\% |
| Operating income | 51,724 | 62,783 | 55,292 | -11.9\% | 6.9\% | 154,296 | 176,068 | 14.1\% |
| Other income and expenses, net (profitability of lace) | 6,737 | 8,108 | 4,500 | -44.5\% | -33.2\% | 4,780 | 30,320 | 534.3\% |
| Income tax | $(16,811)$ | $(20,495)$ | $(17,097)$ | -16.6\% | 1.7\% | -49,732 | -56,421 | 13.5\% |
| Net income before translation results | 41,650 | 50,396 | 42,695 | -15.3\% | 2.5\% | 109,344 | 149,968 | 37.2\% |
| Translations results | (175) | (29) | (301) | 952.2\% | 72.1\% | -229 | -206 | -10.2\% |
| Net income | 41,475 | 50,367 | 42,394 | -15.8\% | 2.2\% | 109,115 | 149,761 | 37.3\% |
| ROAE | 27.6\% | 33.3\% | 26.0\% | -730 bps | -160 bps | 23.4\% | 30.6\% | 720 bps |
|  |  | As of |  | \% change |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sep 18 | Jun 19 | Sep 19 | QoQ | YoY |  |  |  |
| Total assets | 854,996 | 868,192 | 937,573 | 8.0\% | 8.0\% |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 232,544 | 238,247 | 265,447 | 11.4\% | 11.4\% |  |  |  |
| Net shareholders' equity ${ }^{(1)}$ | 622,452 | 629,945 | 672,126 | 6.7\% | 6.7\% |  |  |  |

(1) Net shareholders' equity includes unrealized gains from Prima's investment portfolio.

Funds under management

| Funds under management | Jun 19 | \% share | Sep 19 | \% share |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fund 0 | 691 | $1.3 \%$ | 713 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Fund 1 | 5,774 | $11.2 \%$ | 6,091 | $11.6 \%$ |
| Fund 2 | 38,467 | $74.4 \%$ | 39,303 | $74.8 \%$ |
| Fund 3 | 6,793 | $13.1 \%$ | 6,460 | $12.3 \%$ |
| Total S/ Millions | 51,724 | $100 \%$ | 52,566 | $100 \%$ |

Source: SBS

## Nominal profitability over the last 12 months

|  | Jun 19 / Jun 18 | Sep 19 / Sep 18 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fund 0 | $4.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Fund 1 | $10.2 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| Fund 2 | $5.6 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Fund 3 | $-1.7 \%$ | $-0.8 \%$ |

## AFP fees

| Fee based on flow | $1.60 \%$ | Applied to the affiliates' monthly remuneration. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mixed fee | $0.18 \%$ | Applied to the affiliates' monthly remuneration since June 2017. Feb 17- may $17=0.87 \%$. |
| Flow | $1.25 \%$ | Applies annualy to the new balance since February 2013 for new affiliates to the system and beginning <br> on June 2013 for old affiliates who have chosen this commission scheme. |
| Balance |  |  |

## Main indicators

| Main indicators and market share | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Prima } \\ & \text { 2Q19 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | System 2Q19 | \% share 2Q19 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Prima } \\ & \text { 3Q19 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { System } \\ \text { 3Q19 } \end{gathered}$ | \% share 3Q19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affiliates | 2,334,751 | 7,224,167 | 32.3\% | 2,343,978 | 7,331,986 | 32.0\% |
| New affiliations ${ }^{(1)}$ | 68,300 | 96,917 | 70.5\% | - | 73,526 | 0.0\% |
| Funds under management (S/ Millions) | 51,724 | 165,755 | 31.2\% | 52,566 | 169,231 | 31.1\% |
| Collections (S/ Millions) ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1,337 | 3,716 | 36.0\% | 967 | 2,898 | 33.4\% |
| Voluntary contributions (S/ Millions) ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1000 | 2,032 | 49.2\% | 1,026 | 2,112 | 48.6\% |
| RAM (S/ Millions) ${ }^{(3)}$ | 2,933 | 7,772 | 37.7\% | 2,847 | 7,478 | 38.1\% |

Source: SBS
(1) As of June 2019, another AFP has the exclusivity of affiliations. August information not available on SBS
(2) Information available to august 2019.
(3) Prima AFP estimate: Average of aggregated income during the last 4 months, excluding special collections and voluntary contribution fees.

### 11.9. Table of calculations

| Table of calculations ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net Interest Margin (NIM) | Annualized Net Interest Income Average Interest Earning Assets |
|  | Net Interest Margin on loans (NIM on loans) | $\frac{\text { Annualized (Interest on loans }-\left(\text { Interest expense } x\left(\frac{\text { Average total loans }}{\text { Average Interest earning assets }}\right)\right)}{\text { Average Total Loans }}$ |
|  | Risk-adjusted Net Interest Margin (Risk-adjusted NIM) | Annualized Net Interest Income - Annualized provisions for loan losses net of recoveries Average Interest Earning Assets |
|  | Funding cost | $\frac{\text { Annualized interest expense }}{\text { Average of total funding }{ }^{(2)}}$ |
|  | Return on average assets (ROAA) | $\frac{\text { Annualized Net Income attributable to Credicorp }}{\text { Average Assets }}$ |
|  | Return on average equity (ROAE) | $\frac{\text { Annualized Net Income attributable to Credicorp }}{\text { Average net equity }}$ |
|  | Internal overdue ratio | Internal overdue loans Total loans |
|  | Non - performing loans ratio (NPL ratio) | $\frac{\text { (Internal overdue loans }+ \text { Refinanced loans) }}{\text { Total loans }}$ |
|  | Coverage ratio of internal overdue loans | $\frac{\text { Allowance for loans losses }}{\text { Internal overdue loans }}$ |
|  | Coverage ratio of non performing loans | Allowance for loans losses <br> Non - performing loans |
|  | Cost of risk | Annualized provision for credit losses onloans portfolio,net of recoveries Total loans |
|  | Combined Ratio of P\&C ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\frac{\text { Net claims }}{\text { Net earned premiums }}+\frac{\text { Acquisition cost }+ \text { operating expenses }}{\text { Net earned premiums }}$ |
|  | Loss Ratio | $\frac{\text { Net claims }}{\text { Net earned premiums }}$ |
|  | Underwriting Result / Net Earned Premium | $\frac{\text { Net earned premiums }- \text { Net claims }- \text { Acquisition cost }}{\text { Net Earned Premiums }}$ |
| Operating performance | Efficiency ratio | (Salaries and employee benefits + Administrative expenses + <br> Depreciation and amortization + Association in participation + Acquisition cost) <br> (Net interest income + Net gain on foreign exchange transactions + Net gain on derivatives held for trading + Net gain from exchange differences + Net gain from associates + Net earned premiums + Fee income) |
|  |  | (Salaries and employee benefits + Administrative expenses + Depreciation and amortization + Acquisition cost) <br> Average total assets |
|  | BIS ratio | $\frac{\text { Regulatory Capital }}{\text { Risk - weighted assets }}$ |
|  | Tier 1 ratio | $\frac{\text { Tier 1 }{ }^{(4)}}{\text { Risk - weighted assets }}$ |
|  | Common Equity Tier 1 ratio | $\frac{\text { Capital + Reserves }-100 \% \text { of applicable deductions }{ }^{(5)}+\text { Retained Earnings + Unrealized gains or losses }}{\text { Risk - weighted assets }}$ |

[^6]
[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Includes Multipurpose Banks, Finance Companies, Municipal and Rural Banks, EDPYMEs and Leasing and Mortgages Companies.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Market share as of May 2019, showed in our previous 2Q19 Earnings Release.

[^1]:    ${ }^{(3)}$ NIM on loans is calculated as follows:

[^2]:    The share of loans within total earning assets is calculated by dividing the average of the beginning and closing balances of total loans for the reporting period, by the average of the beginning and closing balances of the interest earning assets for the reporting period.

[^3]:    *Others include Grupo Pacifico and eliminations for consolidation purposes.

[^4]:    4 Insurance companies that obtain tranches through a public tender process to manage the disability and survival risk of AFP affiliates.

[^5]:    (1) Other operating income includes: Net gain on foreign exchange transactions, Net gain from associates, Net gain on derivatives held for trading and Net gain from exchange difference.
    (2) Other operating expenses includes: Acquisition cost and Association in participation

[^6]:    (1) Averages are determined as the average of period-beginning and period-ending balances.
    (2) Includes total deposits, due to banks and correspondents, BCRP instruments, repurchase agreements and bonds and notes issued.
    (3) Does not include Life insurance business.
    (4) Tier 1 = Capital + Legal and other capital reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + ( $0.5 \times$ Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries) - Goodwill - ( $0.5 \times$ Investment in subsidiaries) + Perpetual subordinated debt (maximum amount that can be included is $17.65 \%$ of Capital + Reserves + Accumulated earnings with capitalization agreement + Unrealized profit and net income in subsidiaries - Goodwill).
    (5) Includes investment in subsidiaries, goodwill, intangibles and deferred tax that rely on future profitability.

